Vector boson + multi jets at NLO

Harald Ita, UCLA

In collaboration with C.F. Berger, Z. Bern, L.J. Dixon, F. Febres Cordero, D. Forde, D.A. Kosower, D. Maitre; T. Gleisberg

West Coast Theory Meeting, UCSC – May 21, 2010

Physics Motivation

W/Z/γ-processes at the heart of electro-weak symmetry breaking

- As signals
- As backgrounds (Higgs, tt, single top)
- Luminosity

SUSY missing energy background

- W→ev
- Z → ν̄ν
- W/Z→qq, (missed jet)



Ws versus Zs (\rightarrow leptons)

W-boson

- Larger cross section
- Less clean signal (neutrino)

Z-boson

- Cleaner signal in the $Z \rightarrow e\bar{e}/\mu\bar{\mu}$ channel
- Smaller cross section

Similar underlying QCD

• relate $Z \rightarrow e\bar{e}/\mu\mu$ to $W \rightarrow ev$ and $Z \rightarrow v\bar{v}$

The Simulation

A multi layered problem



Here parton level hard scattering

- parton model
- perturbative QCD
- jet algorithms



Scale Dependence

At fixed order renormalization scale dependence through α_{strong}(μ)



Multi-jet QCD at NLO

NLO corrections improve theory predictions:

Reduce renormalization scale dependence

Number of Jets	LO	NLO
1	16 %	7 %
2	30 %	10 %
3	42 %	12 %

BlackHat+Sherpa

W+jets cross-sections (Tevatron): Variation for doubling Renormalization and Factorization scale.

- Absolute normalizations
- Shape of distributions
- Corrections can be large

Multiplicity Bottleneck

Unitarity and on-shell methods resolve obstructions in conventional approaches

- Factorial number of Feynman diagram expressions
- Tensor integral reduction

Think off-shell work on-shell

- Park-Taylor amplitude
- Quadruple cut



Multi jet NLO with BlackHat & Sherpa

Berger, Bern Dixon, Febres Cordero, Forde, HI, Kosower, Maitre

$$\sigma_n^{NLO} = \int_n \sigma_n^{tree} + \int_n \left(\sigma_n^{virt} + \Sigma_n^{sub} \right) + \int_{n+1} \left(\sigma_{n+1}^{real} - \sigma_{n+1}^{sub} \right)$$

Sherpa BlackHat

PDFs + coupling: CETEQ6M (LO), CETEQ6L1 (NLO)



Sherpa

Gleisberg, Hoeche, Krauss, Schoenherr, Schumann, Siegert, Winter

Event generator providing:

- Phase space integration
- Jet algorithms
- Automated dipoles for real part integration (Catani, Seymour; Gleisberg, Krauss)
- Analysis framework



BlackHat

Berger, Bern Dixon, Febres Cordero, Forde, HI, Kosower, Maitre; Gleisberg

Numerical on-shell methods for LO and NLO matrix elements for QCD processes:

• Loops:

unitarity method and recent extensions

Bern, Dixon, Kosower, Dunbar

IS Britto, Cachazo, Feng; Ossola, Papadopoulos, Pittau; Forde; Bern, Morgan; Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Kosower; Ellis, Giele, Kunszt, Melnikov; Badger

one-loop recusions

Berger, Bern, Dixon, Forde, Kosower; Bern, Bjerrum-Bohr, Dunbar, HI

 Input tree amplitudes: on-shell recursions

Britto, Cachazo, Feng, Witten

How good are our tools

Compare to Tevatron studies

- W+jets CDF
- Z+jets D0

Phys.Rev.D 77, 011108 (2008)

Phys.Lett. B 669, 278 (2008), Phys.Lett. B 678, 45 (2009), Phys.Lett. B 682, 370 (2010)

Z+jets CDF

Phys.Rev.Lett. 100, 102001 (2008)

CDF W+jets

data: 320 pb⁻¹, particle level $E_T^{\text{jet}} > 20 \text{ GeV}, \qquad |\eta^{\text{jet}}| < 2$ $E_T^e > 20 \text{ GeV}, \qquad |\eta^e| < 1.1,$ $E_T > 30 \text{ GeV}, \qquad M_T^W > 20 \text{ GeV}$ $\mu = E_T^W \equiv \sqrt{M_W^2 + p_T^2(W)}$ JETCLU, R=0.4, f=0.75

Comparison:

- MCFM (NLO parton)
- MLM (LO Alpgen+Herwig)
- SMPR (LO Madgraph+Pythia)

CDF, Phys. Rev. D 77, 011108 (2008)

W+2 jets Second jet E_T Scale uncertainty --- PDF uncertainty △ CDF II / MCFM 1.5 0.5 Scale uncertainty CDF II / MLM 0.5 CDF II / SMPR Scale uncertainty 80 120 140 160 180 Second Jet E. (GeV) 40 60 100

W+3 jets

Third jet E_T



W+3 jets

CDF, Phys. Rev. D 77, 011108 (2008)

Jet algorithms:

• SISCone R=0.4, f=0.5

Salam, Soyez

• CDF: JETCLU R=0.4, f=0.75



D0 Z+3jets

Good Agreement up to

- Non-perturabtive aspects
- Jet-algorithms

Jet algorithms

- SISCone R=0.5, f=0.5
- D0 Run II Midpoint, R=0.5, f=0.5; not IR safe
 Our scale choice μ=Ĥ_T/2



Choosing renormalization scales

Have to choose scale

Total cross sectionfixed scales workswell

DistributionsDynamical scale



BlackHat 0903.1984

μ/μο

Bad scale choice Good scale choice

LHC 14 TeV

BlackHat 0903.1984

μ=Ĥ,



Transverse energy of W boson



Total transverse partonic energy

Two typical kinematic configurations

E_{TW} characteristic scale



E_{TW} too soft



Careful Causes bad tails

Many scale choices

Important to pick well

Some suitable choices • $\mu = \hat{H}_T$ • $\mu^2_{had} = 1/4 M^2_{had} + M^2_W$

Bauer, Lange

To judge need to look at NLO distributions

BlackHat 0903.1984



W-Polarization

- Known at large rapidity
- see Ellis, Stirling, Webber; recent: Catani, Ferrera, Grazzini
- New at large transverse momentum

BlackHat 0907.1984



At large rapidity

Anastasiou, Dixon, Melnikov, Petriello; CDF, Aaltonen et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.102(2009)



W charge asymmetry

Daughter lepton (charge) asymmetry diluted due to W-polarization. CDF, F.Abe et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.74 (1995)

At large transverse momentum

BlackHat 0912.4927



Physics application

- Polarization effect largely absent in Wproduction from decays of tops
- Can be used to separate Ws produced from light quarks and from tt
- Under study by CMS

Towards W+4 jets

Simplify problem

- Start with leading color approximation
 Check
- Check Matrix elements (UV&IR poles, collinear factorization, absence of spurious poles)
- Check numerical stability
- Check integration of real part Integrate

New: Stability plot W+4 jets

Ready to integrate

- Virtual contribution
- gd→e⁻ vgggu
- Physical phase space

Shows BlackHat matrix elements are stable



Real contribution

Proof of principle

BlackHat: presented at Loops & Legs 2010 1005.3728

 $\int_{n+1}^{n+1} (\sigma_{n+1}^{real} - \sigma_{n+1}^{sub})$ BlackHat Sherpa • control over integration accuracy • numerical stability



200 million PS points

Summary

- W/Z + 3 jets at NLO with BlackHat + Sherpa
- Comparison of NLO W/Z+ 3 jets with Tevatron data
- New W-polarization effect at LHC
- Progress towards NLO results for W+4 jets

Future

- Public version of BlackHat
- W+4 jets
- Towards process automation
- Merging with parton shower
- NLO as the standard theory prediction at the LHC