

Very High-Energy Gamma-Ray Astrophysics

David A. Williams

Santa Cruz Institute for Particle Physics UC Santa Cruz

Quarknet

July 12, 2013



Detecting High Energy Gamma Rays

High Sensitivity HESS, MAGIC, CANGAROO, VERITAS, CTA

Low Energy Threshold Fermi Large Aperture/High Duty Cycle Milagro, Tibet, ARGO, HAWC



Large Effective Area Excellent Background Rejection (>99%) Low Duty Cycle/Small Aperture >50 GeV (5 x 10¹⁰ eV)



Space-based (small area) "Background Free" Large Duty Cycle/Large Aperture 100 MeV – 300 GeV



Large Effective Area Good Background Rejection Large Duty Cycle/Large Aperture > 1 TeV (10¹² eV)

Detecting >100 GeV γ-Rays

Detect shower in the atmosphere

What reaches the ground? Some particles Cherenkov light



Development of a 2TeV Gamma Ray Shower from first interaction to the Milagro Detector

Viewed from below the shower front -Color coded by Particle Type

This movie views a CORSIKA simulation of a gamma ray initiated shower. The purple grid is 20m per square and is moving at the speed of light in vacuum. The height of the shower above sea level is shown at the bottom of the screen.

> Blue - electrons and gammas Yellow - muons Green - pions and kaons Purple - protons and neutrons Red - other, mostly nuclear fragments

http://scipp.ucsc.edu/milagro/Animations/AnimationIntro.html

Development of a 2TeV Proton Shower from first interaction to the Milagro Detector

Viewed from below the shower front -Color coded by Particle Type

This movie views a CORSIKA simulation of a proton initiated shower. The purple grid is 20m per square and is moving at the speed of light in vacuum. The height of the shower above sea level is shown at the bottom of the screen.

> Blue - electrons and gammas Yellow - muons Green - pions and kaons Purple - protons and neutrons Red - other, mostly nuclear fragment

http://scipp.ucsc.edu/milagro/Animations/AnimationIntro.html

Cherenkov Radiation



Milagro: Water Cherenkov Detector





Shower from a vertical 2TeV Gamma Ray Primary Side View

Note the penetration of the shower core almost to the second layer of detectors (6m) and the formation of the bowl and ring structure by the shower core. The ring is the classic Cherenkov radiation pattern, and the bowl is formed by multiple scattering - many small rings from highly scattered particles adding up to form a bowl. In the Milagro pond the probability density of Cherenkov light emission from an entering particle is in this bowl-ring distribution.

> Red - electrons and positrons Green - secondary gammas Blue - Cherenkov Photons

http://scipp.ucsc.edu/milagro/Animations/AnimationIntro.html

Shower from a vertical 2TeV Gamma Ray Primary Bottom View

This shower is seen from below the Milagro pond. Note the small Cherenkov rings from the peripheral particles and the prominent bowl and ring structure formed by the core. The boxes are the same size, but the white box is at the water surface, and the purple box moves with the shower front.

> Red - electrons and positrons Green - secondary gammas Blue - Cherenkov Photons

http://scipp.ucsc.edu/milagro/Animations/AnimationIntro.html

Shower Direction & Core Position





Real air shower event

Simulated gamma-ray shower

Shower from a vertical 2TeV Proton Primary Side View

At this energy proton showers tend to have many fewer particles hitting the pond - as seen by the wide particle spacing in this relatively strong proton shower. Notice the very distinctive Cherenkov cone left by a muon.

> Red - electrons and positrons Green - secondary gammas Yellow - muons Blue - Cherenkov Photons

http://scipp.ucsc.edu/milagro/Animations/AnimationIntro.html

Milagro's Successor: HAWC





Whipple Observatory Basecamp (el. 1275 m) at foot of Mt. Hopkins

Atmospheric Imaging Technique

γ–ray



Area = $10^4 - 10^5 \text{ m}^2$ ~60 optical photons/m²/TeV

 γ -rays above ~100 GeV



500-MHz FADC electronics ¹⁴

15

Shower Direction & Core Position





Image of a Supernova Remnant

RX J1713.7 -3946

•First image of a γ-ray source –HESS result

•Contours are ASCA 1–3 keV

•Color is VHE γ-rays

•Acceleration of particles (e[±]? p?) to >100 TeV



Bin VERITAS > 120 GeV Counts per 3700 3600 3500 10-3 s⁻¹) 3400 dF/dE (MeV cm⁻² 3300 10 3200 3100 2000 Bin VERITAS, this work Fermi > 100 MeV 1500 10 Counts per Fermi (Abdo et al, 2010) 1000 MAGIC (Aliu et al. 2008) 500 MAGIC (Albert et al. 2008) -0.5 0.5 - of 1 CELESTE (De Naurois et al. 2002) Phase 10 STACEE (Oser et al. 2001) в U 1950 1900 1850 0 1850 HEGRA (Aharonian et al. 2004) 1950 VERITAS > 120 GeV VERITAS > 120 GeV Whipple (Lessard et al. 2000) 4 g 1900 Broken power law fit 10-7 P1 P2 Exponential cutoff fit g 1850 1800 1750 ~ 20 1700 Power law with exponential cutoff 15 10 Broken nower lay 1550 1550 10³ 10⁵ 10⁴ 10⁶ E1500 E1500 Energy (MeV) HHH Fermi > 100 MeV 600 - Fermi > 100 MeV ē a 1500 HH g 400 Counts p 200

0.5

Phase

0.45

0.35

0.4

0.05

Phase

Discovery of VHE Crab Pulsar

E. Aliu et al. 2011, *Science* 334, 69–72 Work led by, A. Nepomuk Otte UCSC postdoc, now asst. prof. at Georgia Tech

φ=0.13 TeV activity coincides

¢=0.03

with near-apastron

passage

=0.33

0.53

0=0.43

 $\phi = 0.23$

Microquasar or binary pulsar?



From Mirabel 2006, Science 312, p. 1759

Radio Galaxy: M 87

- Giant radio galaxy (class of AGN)
- Distance ~16 Mpc, redshift 0.004
- Central black hole
 ~6 x 10⁹ M_{sun}
- Jet angle 15° –
 30°
- Knots resolved in the jet
- Jet is variable in all wavebands



M 87 – Radio and TeV flares

- Rapid TeV flares
 coincident with the
 core brightening
- TeV particles accelerated within ~100 R_s of BH
- Best determination so far of location of particle acceleration



V. Acciari et al. 2009, Science 325, 444

23

Markarian 501 – July 9, 2005

Rapid flare

- MAGIC telescope
 (Albert et al., submitted to Astrophys. J.)
- Flux doubling times ~2 minutes
- Indication of a 4±1 minute lag between lowest and highest E events
- Constrains details of emission





Size of Emission Region



 Instantaneous flash emits photons from 1, 2, and 3 at the same time

•Observer sees them arrive at different times:

$$T_2 - T_1 \sim d/c$$

 $T_3 - T_1 \sim d/2c$

Duration of flash seen by observer is *at least* of order d/2c long for opaque emission region; d/c for transparent one
Short bursts must come from small regions

Emission Region in Motion



•If emission region is moving towards observer, apparent time between events is reduced

•Limiting case: $v = c \& \theta = 0 \rightarrow \Delta T_{obs} = 0$ •Apparent motion can be superluminal

Emission Region in Motion



Time intervals seen by observer are ~2Γ² times shorter than intervals at source
Lorentz factors of 10 to 50 not uncommon
Emission region not ~2 light minutes across, but still small

The CTA Concept



Arrays in northern and southern hemispheres for full sky coverage 4 large (~23 m) telescopes in the center (LSTs)

Threshold of ~30 GeV

≥25 medium (9–12 m) telescopes (MSTs) covering ~1 km² Order of magnitude improvement in 100 GeV–10 TeV range Small (~4 m) telescopes (SSTs) covering >3 km² in south >10 TeV observations of Galactic sources Construction begins in ~2015

From current arrays to CTA



Light pool radius R ≈100-150 m ≈ typical telescope spacing

Sweet spot for best triggering and reconstruction: Most showers miss it!



A Novel Telescope for CTA



1 cm

Schwarzschild-Couder optics

Camera using multianode photomultiplier tubes or Geiger-APDs with integrated electronics



H.E.S.S.



CTA, for same exposure



Expect ~1000 detected sources over the whole sky

Dark matter searches with Fermi & CTA

Fermi dwarf spheroidal and CTA Galactic Center searches are complementary

Assuming b b-bar decay channel

LAT 2-year result from Ackermann et al. 2011, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 241302.

