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Introduction
Computed tomography (CT) has become an important tool in medical imaging. However, traditional CT scans using X-rays as probes have a disadvantage of a relatively high radiation dosage. A possible alternative is proton computed tomography (pCT), an imaging technique that substitutes protons for X-rays. Imaging with protons could have the advantage of providing similar quality reconstruction with much less dose. First, it is important to understand the proton transmission images seen in the lab. An accurate analysis of laboratory data requires the use of detailed computer simulations. GEANT4 is a good tool for this purpose.

Experimental Setup
Shown Above is the set-up for our initial experiments, performed using the medical proton synchrotron at Loma Linda University Medical Center. A monochromatic beam of 250 MeV protons is degraded by a wax block. The protons then pass through a long aluminum tube resting on a polystyrene holder and placed 25 cm behind the wax block. The protons are then detected by two sets of silicon strip detectors. These detectors measure the trajectory of the protons (incident x and y position and direction) as well as their energy. The first is determined from strip-hit information, and the latter by measuring the charge deposited in the detector.

Simulation
The Monte Carlo simulation program GEANT4 was used to model our simple experimental setup. Although GEANT4 was primarily intended (and tested) for the simulation of high-energy physics experiments, it can be applied to a wide variety of applications, including medical physics. The parameters for the simulation were matched to the physical setup described above.

Multiple Scattering Comparison
We compared scattering data for protons that passed through the aluminum tube separately from scattering data for protons that did not pass through the aluminum (regions A and B in color figures below). The figure on the left shows a comparison of the scattering angles of exiting protons for experiment (points) and simulation (histogram) in regions A and B. The area under the histograms were normalized to correspond to the area of the experimental curves. There is good agreement between the experimental and simulated results. Both distributions are roughly Gaussian in shape, and centered at zero. The spread in scattering angles in region A is significantly wider than the spread in region B. This is expected, since the scattering angle depends on the amount and density of material traversed. GEANT4 appears to accurately simulate multiple scattering for protons at these energies.

Future Work
In continuing this work, it is important to understand the discrepancies between the GEANT4 simulation and experiment. We plan to:
• Evaluate and Improve TOT calibration
• Examine wax and aluminum modeling
• Improve beam modeling.
• Include more complex modeling of the detectors
  – Add individual strips of silicon arranged as in the real detector
  – Include new types of detectors with better energy resolution

Once we understand these factors, we can move on to modeling more complicated experimental setups. Eventually we would like to simulate a more complicated phantom, with smaller embedded objects and perhaps with very small density fluctuations like those that appear in the human body.