

The Characteristic Polynomial

1. Coefficients of the characteristic polynomial

Consider the eigenvalue problem for an $n \times n$ matrix A ,

$$A\vec{x} = \lambda\vec{x}, \quad \vec{x} \neq 0. \quad (1)$$

The solution to this problem consists of identifying all possible values of λ (called the eigenvalues), and the corresponding non-zero vectors \vec{x} (called the eigenvectors) that satisfy eq. (1). Noting that $\mathbf{I}\vec{x} = \vec{x}$, one can rewrite eq. (1) as

$$(A - \lambda\mathbf{I})\vec{x} = 0. \quad (2)$$

This is a set of n homogeneous equations. If $A - \lambda\mathbf{I}$ is an invertible matrix, then one can simply multiply both sides of eq. (2) by $(A - \lambda\mathbf{I})^{-1}$ to conclude that $\vec{x} = 0$ is the unique solution. By definition, the zero vector is not an eigenvector. Thus, in order to find non-trivial solutions to eq. (2), one must demand that $A - \lambda\mathbf{I}$ is not invertible, or equivalently,

$$p(\lambda) \equiv \det(A - \lambda\mathbf{I}) = 0. \quad (3)$$

Eq. (3) is called the *characteristic equation*. Evaluating the determinant yields an n th order polynomial in λ , called the *characteristic polynomial*, which we have denoted above by $p(\lambda)$.

The determinant in eq. (3) can be evaluated by the usual methods. It takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda\mathbf{I}) &= \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} - \lambda & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} - \lambda & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} - \lambda \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^n [\lambda^n + c_1\lambda^{n-1} + c_2\lambda^{n-2} + \cdots + c_n], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $A = [a_{ij}]$. The coefficients c_i are to be computed by evaluating the determinant. Note that we have identified the coefficient of λ^n to be $(-1)^n$. This arises from one term in the determinant that is given by the product of the diagonal elements. It is easy to show that this is the only possible source of the λ^n term in the characteristic polynomial. It is then convenient to factor out the $(-1)^n$ before defining the coefficients c_i .

Two of the coefficients are easy to obtain. Note that eq. (4) is valid for any value of λ . If we set $\lambda = 0$, then eq. (4) yields:

$$p(0) = \det A = (-1)^n c_n .$$

Noting that $(-1)^n(-1)^n = (-1)^{2n} = +1$ for any integer n , it follows that

$$\boxed{c_n = (-1)^n \det A}$$

One can also easily work out c_1 , by evaluating the determinant in eq. (4) using the cofactor expansion. This yields a characteristic polynomial of the form,

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = (a_{11} - \lambda)(a_{22} - \lambda) \cdots (a_{nn} - \lambda) + c'_2 \lambda^{n-2} + c'_3 \lambda^{n-3} + \cdots + c'_n . \quad (5)$$

The term $(a_{11} - \lambda)(a_{22} - \lambda) \cdots (a_{nn} - \lambda)$ is the product of the diagonal elements of $A - \lambda \mathbf{I}$. It is easy to see that *none* of the remaining terms that arise in the cofactor expansion [denoted by $c'_2 \lambda^{n-2} + c'_3 \lambda^{n-3} + \cdots + c'_n$ in eq. (5)] are proportional to λ^n or λ^{n-1} .^{*} Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{11} - \lambda)(a_{22} - \lambda) \cdots (a_{nn} - \lambda) &= (-\lambda)^n + (-\lambda)^{n+1} [a_{11} + a_{22} + \cdots + a_{nn}] + \cdots , \\ &= (-1)^n [\lambda^n - \lambda^{n-1}(\text{Tr } A) + \cdots] , \end{aligned}$$

where \cdots contains terms that are proportional to λ^p , where $p \leq n - 2$. This means that the terms in the characteristic polynomial that are proportional to λ^n and λ^{n-1} arise *solely* from the term $(a_{11} - \lambda)(a_{22} - \lambda) \cdots (a_{nn} - \lambda)$. The term proportional to $-(-1)^n \lambda^{n-1}$ is the trace of A , which is defined to be equal to the sum of the diagonal elements of A . Comparing eqs. (4) and (5), it follows that:

$$\boxed{c_1 = -\text{Tr } A}$$

Expressions for c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{n-1} are more complicated. For example, eqs. (4) and (5) yield

$$c_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i < j}}^n a_{ii} a_{jj} + c'_2 .$$

For the moment, I will not explicitly evaluate c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{n-1} . In the Appendix to these notes, I will provide explicit expressions for these coefficients in terms of traces of powers of A . It follows that the general form for the characteristic polynomial is:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\lambda) &= \det(A - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \\ &= (-1)^n [\lambda^n - \lambda^{n-1} \text{Tr } A + c_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \cdots + (-1)^{n-1} c_{n-1} \lambda + (-1)^n \det A] . \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

^{*}In computing the cofactor of the ij element, one crosses out row i and column j of the ij element and evaluates the determinant of the remaining matrix [multiplied by the sign factor $(-1)^{i+j}$]. Except for the product of diagonal elements, there is always one factor of λ in each of the rows and columns that is crossed out. This implies that the maximal power one can achieve outside of the product of diagonal elements is λ^{n-2} .

By the fundamental theorem of algebra, an n th order polynomial equation of the form $p(\lambda) = 0$ possesses precisely n roots. Thus, the solution to $p(\lambda) = 0$ has n potentially complex roots, which are denoted by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. These are the eigenvalues of A . If a root is non-degenerate (i.e., only one root has a particular numerical value), then we say that the root has multiplicity one—it is called a *simple root*. If a root is degenerate (i.e., more than one root has a particular numerical value), then we say that the root has multiplicity p , where p is the number of roots with that same value—such a root is called a *multiple root*. For example, a double root (as its name implies) arises when precisely two of the roots of $p(\lambda)$ are equal. In the counting of the n roots of $p(\lambda)$, multiple roots are counted according to their multiplicity.

In principle, one can always factor a polynomial in terms of its roots.[†] Thus, eq. (4) implies that:

$$p(\lambda) = (-1)^n (\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2) \cdots (\lambda - \lambda_n),$$

where multiple roots appear according to their multiplicity. Multiplying out the n factors above yields

$$p(\lambda) = (-1)^n \left[\lambda^n - \lambda^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i + \lambda^{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ i < j}}^{n-1} \lambda_i \lambda_j + \dots \right. \\ \left. + \lambda^{n-k} \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\substack{i_k=1 \\ i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k}}^n \underbrace{\lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}}_{k \text{ factors}} + \dots + \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n \right]. \quad (7)$$

Comparing with eq. (6), it immediately follows that:

$$\boxed{\text{Tr } A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n, \quad \det A = \lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 \cdots \lambda_n}$$

The coefficients c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{n-1} are also determined by the eigenvalues. In general,

$$c_k = (-1)^k \sum_{i_1=1}^n \sum_{i_2=1}^n \cdots \sum_{\substack{i_k=1 \\ i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k}}^n \underbrace{\lambda_{i_1} \lambda_{i_2} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}}_{k \text{ factors}}, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (8)$$

[†]I say in principle, since in practice it may not be possible to explicitly determine the roots algebraically. According to a famous theorem of algebra, no general formula exists (like the famous solution to the quadratic equation) for an arbitrary polynomial of fifth order or above. Of course, one can always determine the roots numerically.

2. The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

Theorem: Given an $n \times n$ matrix A , the characteristic polynomial is defined by $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = (-1)^n [\lambda^n + c_1 \lambda^{n-1} + c_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \cdots + c_{n-1} \lambda + c_n]$, it follows that

$$p(A) = (-1)^n [A^n + c_1 A^{n-1} + c_2 A^{n-2} + \cdots + c_{n-1} A + c_n \mathbf{I}] = \mathbf{0}, \quad (9)$$

where $A^0 \equiv \mathbf{I}$ is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and $\mathbf{0}$ is the $n \times n$ zero matrix.

False proof: The characteristic polynomial is $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda \mathbf{I})$. Setting $\lambda = A$, we get $p(A) = \det(A - A \mathbf{I}) = \det(A - A) = \det(\mathbf{0}) = 0$. This “proof” does not make any sense. In particular, $p(A)$ is an $n \times n$ matrix, but in this false proof we obtained $p(A) = 0$ where 0 is a number.

Correct proof: Recall that the classical adjoint of M , denoted by $\text{adj } M$, is the transpose of the matrix of cofactors. In class, we showed that the cofactor expansion of the determinant is equivalent to the equation[‡]

$$M \text{adj } M = \mathbf{I} \det M. \quad (10)$$

In particular, setting $M = A - \lambda \mathbf{I}$, it follows that

$$(A - \lambda \mathbf{I}) \text{adj}(A - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = p(\lambda) \mathbf{I}, \quad (11)$$

where $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda \mathbf{I})$ is the characteristic polynomial. Since $p(\lambda)$ is an n th-order polynomial, it follows from eq. (11) that $\text{adj}(A - \lambda \mathbf{I})$ is a matrix polynomial of order $n - 1$. Thus, we can write:

$$\text{adj}(A - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = B_0 + B_1 \lambda + B_2 \lambda^2 + \cdots + B_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1},$$

where B_0, B_1, \dots, B_{n-1} are $n \times n$ matrices (whose explicit forms are not required in these notes). Inserting the above result into eq. (11) and using eq. (4), one obtains:

$$(A - \lambda \mathbf{I})(B_0 + B_1 \lambda + B_2 \lambda^2 + \cdots + B_{n-1} \lambda^{n-1}) = (-1)^n [\lambda^n + c_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1} \lambda + c_n] \mathbf{I}. \quad (12)$$

Eq. (12) is true for any value of λ . Consequently, the coefficient of λ^k on the left-hand side of eq. (12) must equal the coefficient of λ^k on the right-hand side of eq. (12), for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. This yields the following $n + 1$ equations:

$$AB_0 = (-1)^n c_n \mathbf{I}, \quad (13)$$

$$-B_{k-1} + AB_k = (-1)^n c_{n-k} \mathbf{I}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, \quad (14)$$

$$-B_{n-1} = (-1)^n \mathbf{I}. \quad (15)$$

[‡]If $\det M \neq 0$, then we may divide both sides of eq. (10) by the determinant and identify $M^{-1} = \text{adj } M / \det M$, since the inverse satisfies $MM^{-1} = \mathbf{I}$.

Using eqs. (13)–(15), we can evaluate the matrix polynomial $p(A)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
p(A) &= (-1)^n [A^n + c_1 A^{n-1} + c_2 A^{n-2} + \cdots + c_{n-1} A + c_n \mathbf{I}] \\
&= AB_0 + (-B_0 + B_1 A)A + (-B_1 + B_2)A^2 + \cdots + (-B_{n-2} + B_{n-1} A)A^{n-1} - B_{n-1} A^n \\
&= A(B_0 - B_0) + A^2(B_1 - B_1) + A^3(B_2 - B_2) + \cdots + A^{n-1}(B_{n-2} - B_{n-2}) + A^n(B_{n-1} - B_{n-1}) \\
&= \mathbf{0},
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem.

It is instructive to illustrate the Cayley-Hamilton theorem for 2×2 matrices. In this case,

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda \operatorname{Tr} A + \det A.$$

Hence, by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem,

$$p(A) = A^2 - A \operatorname{Tr} A + \mathbf{I} \det A = \mathbf{0}.$$

Let us take the trace of this equation. Since $\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{I} = 2$ for the 2×2 identity matrix,

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A^2) - (\operatorname{Tr} A)^2 + 2 \det A = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\boxed{\det A = \frac{1}{2} [(\operatorname{Tr} A)^2 - \operatorname{Tr}(A^2)]}, \quad \text{for any } 2 \times 2 \text{ matrix.}$$

You can easily verify this formula for any 2×2 matrix.

Appendix: Identifying the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial in terms of traces

The characteristic polynomial of an $n \times n$ matrix A is given by:

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = (-1)^n [\lambda^n + c_1 \lambda^{n-1} + c_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \cdots + c_{n-1} \lambda + c_n].$$

In Section 1, we identified:

$$c_1 = -\operatorname{Tr} A, \quad c_n = (-1)^n \det A. \quad (16)$$

One can also derive expressions for c_2, c_3, \dots, c_{n-1} in terms of traces of powers of A . In this appendix, I will exhibit the relevant results without proofs (which can be found in the references at the end of these notes). Let us introduce the notation:

$$t_k = \operatorname{Tr}(A^k).$$

Then, the following set of recursive equations can be proven:

$$t_1 + c_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t_k + c_1 t_{k-1} + \cdots + c_{k-1} t_1 + k c_k = 0, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, n. \quad (17)$$

These equations are called *Newton's identities*. A nice proof of these identities can be found in ref. [1]. The equations exhibited in eq. (17) are called recursive, since one can solve for the c_k in terms of the traces t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k iteratively by starting with $c_1 = -t_1$, and then proceeding step by step by solving the equations with $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$ in successive order. This recursive procedure yields:

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= -t_1, \\ c_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(t_1^2 - t_2), \\ c_3 &= -\frac{1}{6}t_1^3 + \frac{1}{2}t_1t_2 - \frac{1}{3}t_3, \\ c_4 &= \frac{1}{24}t_1^4 - \frac{1}{4}t_1^2t_2 + \frac{1}{3}t_1t_3 + \frac{1}{8}t_2^2 - \frac{1}{4}t_4, \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

The results above can be summarized by the following equation [2],

$$c_m = -\frac{t_m}{m} + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{t_i t_j}{ij} - \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \sum_{j=1}^{m-2} \sum_{k=1}^{m-2} \frac{t_i t_j t_k}{ijk} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^m t_1^m}{m!}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Note that by using $c_n = (-1)^n \det A$, one obtains a general expression for the determinant in terms of traces of powers of A ,

$$\det A = (-1)^n c_n = (-1)^n \left[-\frac{t_n}{n} + \frac{1}{2!} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{t_i t_j}{ij} - \frac{1}{3!} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{t_i t_j t_k}{ijk} + \dots + \frac{(-1)^n t_1^n}{n!} \right],$$

where $t_k \equiv \text{Tr}(A^k)$. One can verify that:

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= \frac{1}{2} [(\text{Tr } A)^2 - \text{Tr}(A^2)], \quad \text{for any } 2 \times 2 \text{ matrix,} \\ \det A &= \frac{1}{6} [(\text{Tr } A)^3 - 3 \text{Tr } A \text{Tr}(A^2) + 2 \text{Tr}(A^3)], \quad \text{for any } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrix,} \\ &\text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients of the characteristic polynomial, c_k , can also be expressed directly in terms of the eigenvalues of A , as shown in eq. (8).

BONUS MATERIAL

One can derive another closed-form expression for the c_k . To see how to do this, let us write out the Newton identities explicitly.

Eq. (17) for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1 &= -t_1, \\
t_1 c_1 + 2c_2 &= -t_2, \\
t_2 c_1 + t_1 c_2 + 3c_3 &= -t_3, \\
&\vdots \\
t_{k-1} c_1 + t_{k-2} c_2 + \dots + t_1 c_{k-1} + k c_k &= -t_k, \\
&\vdots \\
t_{n-1} c_1 + t_{n-2} c_2 + \dots + t_1 c_{n-1} + n c_n &= -t_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the first k equations above (for any value of $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$). This is a system of linear equations for c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k , which can be written in matrix form:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\
t_1 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\
t_2 & t_1 & 3 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
t_{k-2} & t_{k-3} & t_{k-4} & \dots & k-1 & 0 \\
t_{k-1} & t_{k-2} & t_{k-3} & \dots & t_1 & k
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
c_1 \\
c_2 \\
c_3 \\
\vdots \\
c_{k-1} \\
c_k
\end{pmatrix}
=
\begin{pmatrix}
-t_1 \\
-t_2 \\
-t_3 \\
\vdots \\
-t_{k-1} \\
-t_k
\end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying Cramer's rule, we can solve for c_k in terms of t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k [3]:

$$c_k = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -t_1 \\ t_1 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -t_2 \\ t_2 & t_1 & 3 & \dots & 0 & -t_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{k-2} & t_{k-3} & t_{k-4} & \dots & k-1 & -t_{k-1} \\ t_{k-1} & t_{k-2} & t_{k-3} & \dots & t_1 & -t_k \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ t_1 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ t_2 & t_1 & 3 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{k-2} & t_{k-3} & t_{k-4} & \dots & k-1 & 0 \\ t_{k-1} & t_{k-2} & t_{k-3} & \dots & t_1 & k \end{vmatrix}}.$$

The denominator is the determinant of a lower triangular matrix, which is equal to the product of its diagonal elements. Hence,

$$c_k = \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -t_1 \\ t_1 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -t_2 \\ t_2 & t_1 & 3 & \dots & 0 & -t_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ t_{k-2} & t_{k-3} & t_{k-4} & \dots & k-1 & -t_{k-1} \\ t_{k-1} & t_{k-2} & t_{k-3} & \dots & t_1 & -t_k \end{vmatrix}.$$

It is convenient to multiply the k th column by -1 , and then move the k th column over to the first column (which requires a series of $k - 1$ interchanges of adjacent columns). These operations multiply the determinant by (-1) and $(-1)^{k-1}$ respectively, leading to an overall sign change of $(-1)^k$. Hence, our final result is:[§]

$$c_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} t_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ t_2 & t_1 & 2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ t_3 & t_2 & t_1 & 3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{k-1} & t_{k-2} & t_{k-3} & t_{k-4} & \cdots & k-1 \\ t_k & t_{k-1} & t_{k-2} & t_{k-3} & \cdots & t_1 \end{vmatrix}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We can test this formula by evaluating the the first three cases $k = 1, 2, 3$:

$$c_1 = -t_1, \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{2!} \begin{vmatrix} t_1 & 1 \\ t_2 & t_1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}(t_1^2 - t_2),$$

$$c_3 = -\frac{1}{3!} \begin{vmatrix} t_1 & 1 & 0 \\ t_2 & t_1 & 2 \\ t_3 & t_2 & t_1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{6} [-t_1^3 + 3t_1t_2 - 2t_3],$$

which coincide with the previously stated results. Finally, setting $k = n$ yields the determinant of the $n \times n$ matrix A , $\det A = (-1)^n c_n$, in terms of traces of powers of A ,

$$\det A = \frac{1}{n!} \begin{vmatrix} t_1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ t_2 & t_1 & 2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ t_3 & t_2 & t_1 & 3 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ t_{n-1} & t_{n-2} & t_{n-3} & t_{n-4} & \cdots & n-1 \\ t_n & t_{n-1} & t_{n-2} & t_{n-3} & \cdots & t_1 \end{vmatrix},$$

where $t_k \equiv \text{Tr}(A^k)$. Indeed, one can check that our previous results for the determinants of a 2×2 matrix and a 3×3 matrix are recovered.

REFERENCES

1. Dan Kalman, *A Matrix Proof of Newton's Identities*, *Mathematics Magazine* **73**, 313–315 (2000).
2. H.K. Krishnapriyan, *On Evaluating the Characteristic Polynomial through Symmetric Functions*, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **35**, 196–198 (1995).
3. V.V. Prasolov, *Problems and Theorems in Linear Algebra* (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994).

[§]This result is derived in section 4.1 on p. 20 of ref. [3]. However, the determinantal expression given in ref. [3] for $\sigma_k \equiv (-1)^k c_k$ contains a typographical error—the diagonal series of integers, $1, 1, 1, \dots, 1$, appearing just above the main diagonal of σ_k should be replaced by $1, 2, 3, \dots, k-1$.