## 1 Boas, p. 606, problem 12.21-13

Verify that the differential equation of problem 11.13 (Homework set \# 2, problem 7) is not Fuchsian. Solve it by separation of variables to find the obvious solution $y=$ const. and a second solution in the form of an integral. Show that the second solution is not expandable in a Frobenius series.

The differential equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{y^{\prime}}{x^{2}}=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A differential equation is said Fuchsian when, given in the form $y^{\prime \prime}+f(x) y^{\prime}+g^{\prime \prime}(x) y=0, x f(x)$ and $x^{2} g(x)$ are not singular in the origin. This is clearly not the case in (1) because $x f(x)=\frac{1}{x}$ is singular for $x \rightarrow 0$. By separation of variables we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d y^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}=-\frac{d x}{x^{2}} \Longrightarrow y^{\prime}=C e^{-\int d x / x^{2}}=-C e^{-1 / x} \Longrightarrow y=-C \int d x e^{-1 / x}+\text { const. } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have found two solutions of the equation: one is the constant function, the other one is given by $\int d x \exp (-1 / x)$. The latter cannot be expanded as a Frobenius series: if it could, the second solution would be

$$
y_{2}=x^{s} \sum_{n} a_{n} x^{n}=\int \sum_{n} a_{n}(n+s) x^{n+s-1}
$$

so that we could expand the integrand in the previous equation as a power series with a finite number of negative powers of $x$. This is not the case for $e^{-1 / x}=\sum_{n} \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} x^{-n}$, so the solution cannot be written as a Frobenius series.

## 2 Boas, p. 612, problem 12.22-5

Solve the Hermite differential equation by power series

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}-2 x y^{\prime}+2 p y=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We insert the power series $y=\sum_{n} a_{n} x^{n}$ in to the equation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-2}-2 \sum_{n} n a_{n} x^{n}+2 p \sum_{n} a_{n} x^{n}=0  \tag{4}\\
\sum_{n}(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2} x^{n}-2 \sum_{n} n a_{n} x^{n}+2 p \sum_{n} a_{n} x^{n}=0  \tag{5}\\
(n+2)(n+1) a_{n+2}+(-2 n+2 p) a_{n}=0 \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

which gives the recursion relation $a_{n+2}=\frac{2 n-2 p}{(n+2)(n+1)} a_{n}$. We can write the solution as a sum of two series, one dependent on $a_{0}$ and one dependent on $a_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
y(x)= & a_{0}\left(1+\frac{-2 p}{2} x^{2}+\frac{-2 p(4-2 p)}{4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2} x^{4}+\frac{-2 p(4-2 p)(8-2 p)}{6!} x^{6}+\ldots\right)+  \tag{7}\\
& +a_{1}\left(x+\frac{(2-2 p)}{3 \cdot 2} x^{3}+\frac{(2-2 p)(6-2 p)}{5!} x^{5}+\frac{(2-2 p)(6-2 p)(10-2 p)}{7!} x^{7}+\ldots\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

When $p$ is a non-negative even integer, $p=2 N$, the $a_{0}$ series terminates after $N+1$ terms and we have a polynomial of order $2 N$; when $p$ is a positive odd integer, $p=2 N+1$, the odd series terminates after $N+1$ terms and we have a polynomial of order $2 N+1$.

These are the Hermite polynomials. It is conventional to fix $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ by the normalization condition in which the highest power of $x$ of $H_{n}(x)$ is given by $(2 x)^{n}$. In this convention, the first three Hermite polynomials are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}(x)=1, \quad H_{1}(x)=2 x, \quad H_{2}(x)=4 x^{2}-2 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Boas, p. 612, problem 12.22-8

In the generating function for the Hermite polynomials $\Phi(x, h)=e^{2 x h-h^{2}}$, expand the exponential and obtain the firs few Hermite polynomials. Verify the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \Phi-2 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi+2 h \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \Phi=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that this proves the polynomials $H_{n}$ satisfy Hermite equation; then verify that the highest term in $H_{n}$ is $(2 x)^{n}$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, h)=e^{2 x h-h^{2}}=\sum_{n} H_{n}(x) \frac{h^{n}}{n!}=\sum_{k} \frac{\left(2 x h-h^{2}\right)^{k}}{k!}=\sum_{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!}(2 x h)^{j}\left(-h^{2}\right)^{k-j} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first powers in $h$ are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, h)=1+2 x h+\left(4 x^{2}-2\right) \frac{h^{2}}{2}+\ldots \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we recognize as yielding the first three Hermite polynomials [cf. (99)].
Now we verify (10):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi=2 h \Phi, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \Phi=(2 x-2 h) \Phi ;  \tag{13}\\
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \Phi-2 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi+2 h \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \Phi=4 h^{2} \Phi-2 x \cdot 2 h \Phi+2 h(2 x-2 h) \Phi=0 . \tag{14}
\end{gather*}
$$

From this and remembering that $\Phi=\sum_{n} H_{n} h^{n} / n$ ! we can obtain a differential equation for $H_{n}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} \Phi-2 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Phi+2 h \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \Phi=\sum_{n} H_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x) \frac{h^{n}}{n!}-2 x \sum_{n} H_{n}^{\prime}(x) \frac{h^{n}}{n!}+2 \sum_{n} H_{n}(x) \frac{h^{n}}{(n-1)!}=0  \tag{15}\\
& \Longrightarrow H_{n}^{\prime \prime}(x)-2 x H_{n}^{\prime}(x)+2 n H_{n}(x)=0 \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

which is precisely Hermite's equation (3) for integer $p$.
Next we look at the highest power of $x$ in $H_{n}(x)$ in the expression (11) of $\Phi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(x, h)=\sum_{n} H_{n}(x) \frac{h^{n}}{n!}=\sum_{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{k!}{j!(k-j)!}(2 x)^{j}(-1)^{k-j} h^{2 k-j} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for fixed $n=2 k-j$, that is for $j=2 k-n$, we have terms proportional to $(2 x)^{2 k-n}$. Because the sum over $j$ was between 0 and $k$, the terms in the sum over $k$ which contribute are those with $\frac{n}{2}<k<n$. Thus, the highest power of $x$ is $2 n-n=n$ and the highest power of $H_{n}(x)$ is $(2 x)^{n}$; one also checks that the combinatorial factor in the front of $H_{n}$ is $\frac{1}{j!(k-j)!}=\frac{1}{(2 k-n)!(n-k)!}=\frac{1}{n!}$ so that we have factorized $\frac{h^{n}}{n!}$.

Finally, we have proved that $\Phi$ generates the Hermite polynomials as this is the only polynomial solution to Hermite's equation (see previous problem 2).

## 4 Boas, p. 612, problem 12.22-15

Solve the Laguerre differential equation by power series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y^{\prime \prime}+(1-x) y^{\prime}+p y=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the series $y=\sum_{n} a_{n} x^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n} n(n-1) a_{n} x^{n-1}+(1-x) \sum_{n} n a_{n} x^{n-1}+p \sum_{n} a_{n} x^{n}=0  \tag{19}\\
& \sum_{n}\left[(n+1) n a_{n+1}+(n+1) a_{n+1}-n a_{n}+p a_{n}\right] x^{n}=0  \tag{20}\\
& (n+1)^{2} a_{n+1}=(n-p) a_{n} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad a_{n+1}=\frac{(n-p)}{(n+1)^{2}} a_{n} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

if $p$ is an integer, the coefficient $a_{p+1}$ is zero and the series terminate, that is, the solution is a polynomial. Setting the normalization to $a_{0}=1$, these are called Laguerre polynomials $L_{n}(x)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}(x)=1-n x+\frac{-n(1-n)}{(2!)^{2}} x^{2}+\frac{-n(1-n)(2-n)}{(3!)^{2}} x^{3}+\ldots+\frac{-n(1-n)(2-n) \cdots(-1)}{(n!)^{2}} x^{n} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we can read the first few Laguerre polynomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}(x)=1, \quad L_{1}(x)=1-x, \quad L_{2}(x)=1-2 x+\frac{x^{2}}{2}, \quad L_{3}(x)=1-3 x+\frac{3}{2} x^{2}-\frac{1}{6} x^{3} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 Boas, p. 614, problem 12.22-26

Given the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime}+\left(\frac{\lambda}{x}-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{l(l+1)}{x^{2}}\right) y=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l>0$ is an integer, find values of $\lambda$ such that $y \rightarrow 0$ for $x \rightarrow \infty$ and find the corresponding eigenfunctions.

We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=x^{l+1} e^{-x / 2} v(x), \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and find a related differential equation for $v$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
y^{\prime}=(l+1) x^{l} e^{-x / 2} v-\frac{1}{2} x^{l+1} e^{-x / 2} v+x^{l+1} e^{-x / 2} v^{\prime} ; \\
y^{\prime \prime}=l(l+1) x^{l-1} e^{-x / 2} v-\frac{l+1}{2} x^{l} e^{-x / 2} v+(l+1) x^{l} e^{-x / 2} v^{\prime}- \\
-\frac{l+1}{2} x^{l} e^{-x / 2} v+\frac{1}{4} x^{l+1} e^{-x / 2} v-\frac{1}{2} x^{l+1} e^{-x / 2} v^{\prime}+ \\
+(l+1) x^{l} e^{-x / 2} v^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} x^{l+1} e^{-x / 2} v^{\prime}+x^{l+1} e^{-x / 2} v^{\prime \prime} ; \\
\Longrightarrow-(l+1) x^{l} v+\left[2(l+1) x^{l}-x^{l+1}\right] v^{\prime}+x^{l+1} v^{\prime \prime}+\lambda x^{l} v=0, \\
\Longrightarrow x v^{\prime \prime}+(2 l+2-x) v^{\prime}+(\lambda-l-1) v=0 \tag{31}
\end{array}
$$

This has the same form of the equation solved by the associated Laguerre polynomials:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y^{\prime \prime}+(k+1-x) y^{\prime}+n y=0, \quad y=L_{n}^{k}(x) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for an integer $\lambda>l$, we there is a polynomial solution of the form $v(x)=L_{\lambda-l-1}^{2 l+1}(x)$. The solution to the original equation (24) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x)=x^{l+1} e^{-x / 2} L_{\lambda-l-1}^{2 l+1}(x) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can note that we just solved an eigenvalue problem: we found that for specific values of $\lambda$, the equation (24) admit solutions related to the associated Laguerre polynomials.

## Motivation for the change of variables

To understand the motivation for (25), let us deduce the behavior of the solution $y(x)$ to the differential equation (24) in the limit where $x \rightarrow 0$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$ respectively. First, as $x \rightarrow 0$, the term $l(l+1) / x^{2}$ is much larger than $\lambda / x$ and $\frac{1}{4}$. Hence, the latter two terms can be neglected, and we examine

$$
y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{l(l+1) y}{x^{2}}=0 .
$$

Multiplying by $x^{2}$, we see that this differential equation has the form of an Euler differential equation [cf. Case (d) on p. 434 of Boas]. The solution to this equation is a power law, $y=x^{p}$. Plugging this into the above equation yields $p(p-1)=l(l+1)$, which has two solutions $p=l+1$ and $p=-l$. We reject $p=-l$ which is negative for positive integer $l$, as this would correspond to a solution $y(x)$ that is singular (i.e., unbounded) at $x=0$. Hence, the non-singular behavior of $y(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ is $y(x) \sim x^{l+1}$.

As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we can neglect the $\lambda / x$ and $l(l+1) / x^{2}$ as compared to $\frac{1}{4}$ in (24). Hence, we examine

$$
y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{4} y=0 .
$$

The solution to this equation is a linear combination of $e^{-x / 2}$ and $e^{x / 2}$. We reject the latter as it corresponds to a solution $y(x)$ that is singular (i.e., unbounded) as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, the non-singular behavior of $y(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ is $y(x) \sim e^{-x / 2}$. Combining these two results, it is especially useful to define

$$
y(x)=x^{l+1} e^{-x / 2} v(x),
$$

which embodies both the small $x$ and large $x$ behavior of $y(x)$, assuming that $v(x)$ is well-behaved in these limits. This is precisely the change of variables proposed in (25).

## 6 Boas, p. 614, problem 12.22-27

In the theory of the hydrogen atom the functions of interest are

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=x^{l+1} e^{-x / 2 n} L_{n-l-1}^{2 l+1}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is an integer and so is $l, 0 \leq l \leq n-1$. For $l=1$, show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(x)=x^{2} e^{-x / 4}, \quad f_{3}(x)=x^{2} e^{-x / 6}\left(4-\frac{x}{3}\right), \quad f_{4}(x)=x^{2} e^{-x / 8}\left(10-\frac{5 x}{4}+\frac{x^{2}}{32}\right) . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will find the associated Laguerre polynomials starting from the Laguerre polynomials and using

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}^{k}(x)=(-1)^{k} \frac{d^{k}}{d x^{k}} L_{n+k}(x) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to find $L_{0}^{3}, L_{1}^{3}, L_{2}^{3}$; in addition to the first polynomials in (23), we need the other $L_{n}$ 's up to $n=5$. We make use of the definition (22):

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{4}(x)=1-4 x+3 x^{2}-\frac{2}{3} x^{3}+\frac{1}{24} x^{4}, \quad L_{5}(x)=1=5 x+5 x^{2}-\frac{5}{3} x^{3}+\frac{5}{24} x^{4}-\frac{1}{120} x^{5}  \tag{37}\\
L_{0}^{3}=-\frac{d^{3}}{d x^{3}} L_{3}(x)=1, \quad L_{1}^{3}=-\frac{d^{3}}{d x^{3}} L_{4}(x)=4-x, \quad L_{2}^{3}=-\frac{d^{3}}{d x^{3}} L_{5}(x)=10-5 x+\frac{x^{2}}{2} \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Replacing $x \rightarrow \frac{x}{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f_{2}(x)=x^{2} e^{-x / 4} L_{0}^{3}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)=x^{2} e^{-x / 4} \\
f_{3}(x)=x^{2} e^{-x / 6} L_{1}^{3}\left(\frac{x}{3}\right)=x^{2} e^{-x / 6}\left(4-\frac{x}{3}\right), \\
f_{4}(x)=x^{2} e^{-x / 8} L_{2}^{3}\left(\frac{x}{4}\right)=x^{2} e^{-x / 8}\left(10-\frac{5 x}{4}+\frac{x^{2}}{32}\right) \tag{41}
\end{array}
$$

which is precisely (35).
For fixed $l$, the functions $f_{n}(x)$ are an orthogonal set on $(0, \infty)$ (as a consequence of Sturm-Liouville theory). We can verify this with these three functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} d x f_{2}(x) f_{3}(x) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} d x x^{4} e^{-5 x / 12}\left(4-\frac{x}{3}\right)=4 \int_{0}^{\infty} d x x^{4} e^{-5 x / 12}-\frac{1}{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} d x x^{5} e^{-5 x / 12}=  \tag{42}\\
& =4\left(\frac{12}{5}\right)^{5} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y y^{4} e^{-y}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{12}{5}\right)^{6} \int_{0}^{\infty} d y y^{5} e^{-y}=\left(\frac{12}{5}\right)^{5}\left[4 \Gamma(5)-\frac{1}{3} \frac{12}{5} \Gamma(6)\right]=0 \\
\int_{0}^{\infty} d x f_{2}(x) f_{4}(x) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} d x x^{4} e^{-3 x / 8}\left(10-\frac{5 x}{4}+\frac{x^{2}}{32}\right)=10\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{5} \Gamma(5)-\frac{5}{4}\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{6} \Gamma(6)+\frac{1}{32}\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{7} \Gamma(7)= \\
& =\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{5} \Gamma(5)\left[10-\frac{5}{4} \frac{8}{3} 5+\frac{2}{9} 6 \cdot 5\right]=0  \tag{43}\\
\int_{0}^{\infty} d x f_{3}(x) f_{4}(x) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} d x x^{4} e^{-7 x / 24}\left(40-\frac{25}{3} x+\frac{13}{24} x^{2}-\frac{1}{96} x^{3}\right)=  \tag{44}\\
& =\left(\frac{24}{7}\right)^{5} \Gamma(5)\left[40-\frac{25}{3}\left(\frac{24}{7}\right) 5+\frac{13}{24}\left(\frac{24}{7}\right)^{2} 6 \cdot 5-\frac{1}{96}\left(\frac{24}{7}\right)^{3} 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5\right]=0 \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $\Gamma$ function is defined as $\Gamma(z)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d t e^{-t} t^{z-1}$ and $\Gamma(z+1)=z \Gamma(z)$.

## 7 Boas, p. 618, problem 12.23-27

Show that $R=l x-\left(1-x^{2}\right) D$ and $L=l x+\left(1-x^{2}\right) D$ where $D=\frac{d}{d x}$ are raising and lowering operators for the Legendre polynomials. More precisely, show that $R P_{l-1}=l P_{l}$ and $L P_{l}=l P_{l-1}$ :

This is immediate once we recall the recursion relations of the Legendre polynomials:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R P_{l-1}=\left[l x-\left(1-x^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d x}\right] P_{l-1}=l x P_{l-1}-\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{l-1}^{\prime}=l x P_{l-1}-l x P_{l-1}+l P_{l}=l P_{l}  \tag{46}\\
& L P_{l}=\left[l x+\left(1-x^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d x}\right] P_{l}=l x P_{l}+\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{l}^{\prime}=l x P_{l} l P_{l-1}-l x P_{l}=l P_{l-1} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming $P_{l}(1)=1$, we can find $P_{0}$ as the polynomial annihilated by $L$ and then find the other Legendre polynomials using the raising operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L P_{0}(x)=0 \Longleftrightarrow\left(1-x^{2}\right) P_{0}^{\prime}=0 \Longrightarrow P_{0}=\text { const }=1,  \tag{48}\\
& P_{1}(x)=R P_{0}=x-\left(1-x^{2}\right) \frac{d}{d x} 1=x, \quad P_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{2} R P_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2 x^{2}-\left(1-x^{2}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(3 x^{2}-1\right) . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the choice of constant such that $P_{0}(x)=1$ is a convention. Once this convention has been chosen, the normalization of the other Legendre polynomials is fixed and determined by applying the raising operators.

## 8 Boas, p. 620-621, problem 13.1-2

(a) Show that the expression $u(x, t)=\sin (x-v t)$ satisfies the wave equation. Show that, in general, $u=f(x-v t)$ and $u=f(x+v t)$ satisfy the wave equation.

The wave equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} u-\frac{1}{v^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} u=0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a one-dimensional problem, $\nabla=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and this admits the solution $u(x, t)=\sin (x-v t)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} u=\cos (x-v t), \quad \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} u=-u, \quad \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}}=-v^{2} u \quad \Longrightarrow \nabla^{2} u-\frac{1}{v^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} u=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, one can see that any function (that has a second derivative) $u(x, t)=f(x \pm v t)$ satisfies (50):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\xi_{ \pm}=x \pm v t, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial \xi_{ \pm}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{ \pm}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{ \pm}}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial \xi_{ \pm}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{ \pm}}= \pm v \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{ \pm}}  \tag{52}\\
\nabla^{2} u-\frac{1}{v^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} u=f^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{ \pm}\right)-\frac{1}{v^{2}}( \pm v)^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{ \pm}\right)=0 \tag{53}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the equation holds separately for $\xi_{+}$and $\xi_{-} . f(x-v t)$ represents an excitation moving in the positive $x$ direction and $f(x+v t)$ an excitation moving in the opposite direction.
(b) Show that $u(r, t)=\frac{1}{r} f(r-v t)$ and $u(r, t)=\frac{1}{r} f(r+v t)$ satisfy the wave equation in spherical coordinates.

The Laplacian operator in spherical coordinates is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2}=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin \varphi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}\left(\sin \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}\right)+\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin ^{2} \varphi} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we are looking for solutions independent of $\phi, \theta$, only the first term contributes; the wave equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) u(r, t)-\frac{1}{v^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} u(r, t)=0 \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, if we insert the coordinates $\xi_{ \pm}=r \pm v t$ we see that $u(r, t)=\frac{1}{r} f\left(\xi_{ \pm}\right)$is a solution for any $f$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) \frac{1}{r} f=\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(-f+r f^{\prime}\right)=-\frac{1}{r^{2}} f^{\prime}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} f^{\prime}+\frac{1}{r} f^{\prime \prime}  \tag{56}\\
\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(r^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) u(r, t)-\frac{1}{v^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial t^{2}} u(r, t)=\frac{1}{r} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{ \pm}\right)-\frac{1}{v^{2}}( \pm v)^{2} \frac{1}{r} f^{\prime \prime}=0 \tag{57}
\end{gather*}
$$

These functions represent spherical waves radially coming out of (or into) the origin.

## 9 Boas, p. 626, problem 13.2-4

Solve the semi-infinite plate problem if the bottom edge of width 30 is held at

$$
T= \begin{cases}x, & 0<x<15  \tag{58}\\ 30-x, & 15<x<30\end{cases}
$$

and the other sides are at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The temperature $T$ inside the plate satisfies Laplace's equation with the boundary conditions given by (58). Solving by separation of variables, we have

$$
\nabla^{2} T(x, y)=0, \quad T(x, y)=X(x) Y(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
e^{k y}  \tag{59}\\
e^{-k y}
\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sin k x \\
\cos k x
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Note that the boundary heat distribution (58) does not influence the form of the solution inside the plate; it will only select a different solution of that form.

We now apply the boundary condition:

- since $T \rightarrow 0$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$, no solution of the form $e^{k y}$ is acceptable;
- similarly, as $T(0, y)=0$, no solution with $\cos k x$ can exist;
- $T(30, y)=0$ so that $\sin 30 k=0$, or $k=\frac{n \pi}{30}$; The solution must have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, y)=\sum_{n} c_{n} e^{-n \pi y / 30} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{30} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Finally, we must reproduce the temperature distribution (58) for $y=0$ :

$$
T(x, 0)=\sum_{n} c_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi x}{30}= \begin{cases}x, & 0<x<15  \tag{61}\\ 30-x, & 15<x<30\end{cases}
$$

we can find the coefficient $c_{n}$ 's because this is a Fourier series:

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{n} & =\frac{2}{30} \int_{0}^{30} T(x, 0) \sin \frac{n \pi x}{30}=\frac{2}{30} \int_{0}^{15} x \sin \frac{n \pi x}{30} d x+\frac{2}{30} \int_{15}^{30}(30-x) \sin \frac{n \pi x}{30} d x=  \tag{62}\\
& =60 \int_{0}^{1 / 2} y d y \sin n \pi y+60 \int_{1 / 2}^{1}(1-y) d y \sin n \pi y=  \tag{63}\\
& =60\left[-\left.\frac{1}{n \pi} y \cos n \pi y\right|_{0} ^{1 / 2}+\frac{1}{n \pi} \int_{0}^{1 / 2} \cos n \pi y d y-\left.\frac{1}{n \pi}(1-y) \cos n \pi y\right|_{1 / 2} ^{1}-\frac{1}{n \pi} \int_{1 / 2}^{1} \cos n \pi y d y\right]  \tag{64}\\
& =\frac{60}{n^{2} \pi^{2}} 2 \sin \frac{n \pi}{2} \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

For even $n=2 k$ that tells us $c_{2} k=0$, while for odd $n=2 k+1$ we have $c_{n}=\frac{120}{n^{2} \pi^{2}}(-1)^{k}$.
We can now write the solution to Laplace's equation with the boundary condition (58):

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, y)=\sum_{k} \frac{120(-1)^{k}}{(2 k+1)^{2} \pi^{2}} e^{-\frac{(2 k+1) \pi y}{30}} \sin \frac{(2 k+1) \pi x}{30} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The plots for the temperature distribution are in figure 1 .



Figure 1: Temperature distribution (66), with the sum truncated at $k=2$

## 10 Boas, p. 627, problem 13.2-6

Show that the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{400}{\pi} \sum_{k} \frac{1}{2 k+1} e^{-\frac{(2 k+1) \pi y}{10}} \sin \frac{(2 k+1) \pi x}{10} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be summed to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{200}{\pi} \arctan \left(\frac{\sin (\pi x / 10)}{\sinh (\pi y / 10)}\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\sin x=\frac{1}{2 i}\left(e^{i x}-e^{-i x}\right)=\operatorname{Im} e^{i x}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{400}{\pi} \sum_{n \text { odd }} \frac{1}{n} e^{-n \pi y / 10} \operatorname{Im} e^{i n \pi x / 10}=\frac{400}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \sum_{n \text { odd }} \frac{1}{n} e^{i n \pi(x+i y) / 10}=\frac{400}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \sum_{n \text { odd }} \frac{z^{n}}{n}, \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z \equiv e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}$ and " $n$ odd" means that we sum over $n=1,3,5, \ldots$. To evaluate this sum, recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ln}(1+z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} z^{n}, \quad \text { for }|z| \leq 1, z \neq-1 \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z$ is a complex number and $\operatorname{Ln}$ is the principal value of the complex logarithm 1 We would like to write:

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ln}\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) & =\operatorname{Ln}(1+z)-\operatorname{Ln}(1-z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} z^{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n}(-z)^{n} \\
& =2 \sum_{n \text { odd }} \frac{z^{n}}{n}, \quad \text { for }|z| \leq 1, z \neq \pm 1 \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

However, recall that $\operatorname{Ln}\left(z_{1} / z_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ln} z_{1}-\operatorname{Ln} z_{1}$ is valid only when $-\pi<\operatorname{Arg} z_{1}-\operatorname{Arg} z_{2} \leq \pi$, where $\operatorname{Arg} z$ is the principal value of the argument of the complex number $z$ (as discussed at great length in the Physics 116A handout entitled, The complex logarithm, exponential and power functions). Nevertheless, it is straightforward to check that for $z_{1}=1+z$ and $z_{2}=1-z$, this condition is satisfied when $|z| \leq 1$ and $z \neq \pm 1$. Hence, it follows that:

$$
T=\frac{200}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Ln}\left(\frac{1+e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}}{1-e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}}\right)=\frac{200}{\pi} \operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{1+e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}}{1-e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}}\right)
$$

where we have used $\operatorname{Ln} z=\operatorname{Ln}|z|+i \operatorname{Arg} z$ for the principal value of the complex logarithm.
To evaluate the argument of the expression above, it is convenient to rewrite the complex number in $a+i b$ form,

$$
\frac{1+e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}}{1-e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}}=\frac{\left(1+e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}\right)\left(1-e^{-i \pi(x-i y) / 10}\right)}{\left(1-e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}\left(1-e^{-i \pi(x-i y) / 10}\right)\right.}=\frac{1-e^{-\pi y / 5}+2 i e^{-\pi y / 10} \sin (\pi x / 10)}{1+e^{-\pi y / 5}-2 e^{-\pi y / 10} \cos (\pi x / 10)}
$$

In the Physics 116A handout entitled, The argument of a complex number, I show that if $a>0$ then $\operatorname{Arg}(a+i b)=\operatorname{Arctan}(b / a)$, where Arctan is the principal value of the arctangent function. In the present application, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{1-e^{-\pi y / 5}}{1+e^{-\pi y / 5}-2 e^{-\pi y / 10} \cos (\pi x / 10)}, \quad b=\frac{2 e^{-\pi y / 10} \sin (\pi x / 10)}{1+e^{-\pi y / 5}-2 e^{-\pi y / 10} \cos (\pi x / 10)} . \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Since $y \geq 0$, we shall treat $y=0$ and $y>0$ separately. When $y>0$, it follows that $a>0$, since the numerator of $a$ is positive and the denominator of $a$ is

$$
1+e^{-\pi y / 5}-2 e^{-\pi y / 10} \cos (\pi x / 10) \geq\left(1-e^{-\pi y / 10}\right)^{2} \geq 0
$$

after noting that $|\cos (\pi x / 10)| \leq 1$. Hence,

$$
\operatorname{Arg}\left(\frac{1+e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}}{1-e^{i \pi(x+i y) / 10}}\right)=\operatorname{Arctan}\left(\frac{b}{a}\right)=\operatorname{Arctan}\left(\frac{2 e^{-\pi y / 10} \sin (\pi x / 10)}{1-e^{-\pi y / 5}}\right)=\operatorname{Arctan}\left(\frac{\sin (\pi x / 10)}{\sinh (\pi y / 10)}\right)
$$

where in the last step, we used the fact that:

$$
\frac{2 e^{-\pi y / 10}}{1-e^{-\pi y / 5}}=\frac{2}{e^{\pi y / 10}\left(1-e^{-\pi y / 5}\right)}=\frac{2}{e^{\pi y / 10}-e^{-\pi y / 10}}=\frac{1}{\sinh (\pi y / 10)} .
$$

Hence, we conclude that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{200}{\pi} \operatorname{Arctan}\left(\frac{\sin (\pi x / 10)}{\sinh (\pi y / 10)}\right) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of $y=0$ and $0<x<10$, we have ${ }^{2}$

$$
a=0, \quad b=\frac{\sin (\pi x / 10)}{1-\cos (\pi x / 10)}=\cot (\pi x / 20)>0
$$

If $a=0$ and $b>0$, then it follows that $\operatorname{Arg}(a+b i)=\frac{1}{2} \pi$. Hence, in (73), if $y=0$ and $0<x<10$, the arctangent is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \pi$ and we find $T=100$, which is the boundary condition for the bottom of the rectangular plate. Finally, we can use (73) to calculate $T(5,5)=26.096^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 11 Boas, p. 627, problem 13.2-13

Find the steady state temperature distribution in a rectangular plate covering the area $0<x<10$, $0<y<20$ if the two adjacent sides along the axes are held at temperatures $T=x$ and $T=y$ and the other two sides at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The solution to Laplace's equation is always the same, but this time we have different boundary conditions.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla^{2} T(x, y)=0, \quad T(x, y)=X(x) Y(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sinh k y \\
\cosh k y
\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sin k x \\
\cos k x
\end{array}\right\},  \tag{74}\\
T(x, 0)=x, \quad T(0, y)=y, \quad T(x, 20)=T(10, y)=0 . \tag{75}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here we have substituted the exponentials in $y$ with the hyperbolic sine and cosine. We can do it because these are linear combinations of the exponentials and still solutions to Laplace's equation.

Now, because Laplace's equation is a linear differential equation, the sum of two solutions is still a solution; then we will find a solution $T_{1}$ satisfying the boundary condition $T_{1}(x, 0)=x, T_{1}(0, y)=0$ and one $T_{2}$ satisfying $T_{2}(10, y)=0, T_{2}(0, y)=y$ and add them together; the sum will satisfy Laplace's equation and the boundary conditions (75).

We first look at the boundary condition $T_{1}(x, 20)=0, T_{1}(0, y)=0, T_{1}(10, y)=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(0, y)=T(10, y)=0 \Longrightarrow X=\sin \frac{n \pi x}{10}, \quad T(x, 20)=0 \Longrightarrow Y=\sinh k(20-y) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]so that the solution takes the form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=\sum_{n} A_{n} \sinh \frac{n \pi}{10}(20-y) \sin \frac{n \pi x}{10} . \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

By applying the last condition $T_{1}(x, 0)=x$ we find the coefficients $A_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{n} \sinh 2 n \pi & =\frac{2}{10} \int_{0}^{10} d x x \sin \frac{n \pi x}{10}=\frac{2}{10}\left[-\left.\frac{10}{n \pi} x \cos \frac{n \pi x}{10}\right|_{0} ^{10}+\frac{10}{n \pi} \int_{0}^{10} \cos \frac{n \pi x}{10} d x\right]=  \tag{78}\\
& =\frac{2}{10}\left[-\frac{10}{n \pi} 10(-1)^{n}\right]=\frac{20}{n \pi}(-1)^{n+1} \tag{79}
\end{align*}
$$

To find the other solution we solve the same equation with boundary conditions $T_{2}(10, y)=0, T_{2}(0, y)=$ $y, T_{2}(x, 20)=T_{2}(x, 0)=0$; this goes in the same way we just did so we can give the answer exchanging the roles of $x$ and $y$ (and being careful about the different sides' lengths):

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{2} & =\sum_{n} B_{n} \sinh \frac{n \pi}{20}(10-x) \sin \frac{n \pi y}{20} .  \tag{80}\\
B_{n} \sinh \frac{n \pi}{2} & =\frac{2}{20}\left[-\frac{20}{n \pi} 20(-1)^{n}\right]=\frac{40}{n \pi}(-1)^{n+1} \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

The solution satisfying the original boundary conditions (75) is then

$$
\begin{align*}
T(x, y)= & \frac{20}{\pi} \sum_{n} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n \sinh 2 n \pi} \sinh \frac{n \pi}{10}(20-y) \sin \frac{n \pi x}{10}+  \tag{82}\\
& +\frac{40}{\pi} \sum_{n} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n \sinh n \pi / 2} \sinh \frac{n \pi}{20}(10-x) \sin \frac{n \pi y}{20} \tag{83}
\end{align*}
$$

## 12 Boas, p. 627-628, problem 13.2-14

The heat flow across an edge is proportional to the derivative along the direction normal to that edge, $\partial T / \partial n$. For a plate with an insulated edge, the boundary condition is that the heat flow along that edge is zero. Find the steady-state temperature of a semi-infinite plate of width 10 cm where the two long edges are insulated, the far end is at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the bottom edge is at $T(x, 0)=x-5$.

We solve Laplace's equation with this boundary condition:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\nabla^{2} T(x, y)=0, \quad T(x, y)=X(x) Y(y)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
e^{k y} \\
e^{-k y}
\end{array}\right\}\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\sin k x \\
\cos k x
\end{array}\right\}  \tag{84}\\
T(x, 0)=x-5, \quad \lim _{y \rightarrow \infty} T(x, y)=0, \quad \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}(0, y)=\frac{\partial T}{\partial x}(10, y)=0 \tag{85}
\end{gather*}
$$

Because of the second condition, we eliminate the solution $e^{k y}$. The others give:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}(0, y) \propto\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos k x \\
\sin k x
\end{array}\right\}=0 \Longrightarrow \text { no } \sin k x \text { terms in } T  \tag{86}\\
& \frac{\partial T}{\partial x}(10, y)=0 \Longrightarrow \sin k 10=0 \Longrightarrow k=\frac{n \pi}{10} \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

So the solution takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, y)=\sum_{n=1} b_{n} e^{-n \pi y / 10} \cos \frac{n \pi x}{10} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{n} & =\frac{2}{10} \int_{0}^{10} d x(x-5) \cos \frac{n \pi x}{10}=\frac{2}{10} \frac{10^{2}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(x-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \cos n x d x=\frac{20}{\pi^{2}}\left[\left.\frac{1}{n}\left(x-\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \sin n x\right|_{0} ^{\pi}-\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin n x\right] \\
& =\frac{20}{n^{2} \pi^{2}}\left((-1)^{n}-1\right)=-\frac{40}{n^{2} \pi^{2}} \text { for } n \text { odd } \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=-\frac{40}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{\text {odd } n} \frac{1}{n^{2}} e^{-n \pi y / 10} \cos \frac{n \pi x}{10} . \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

We eliminated the solution $e^{k y}$ because we wanted $T \rightarrow 0$ for $y \rightarrow \infty$. If we require $T$ to stay finite (not necessarily zero) for $y \rightarrow \infty$ we can admit the solution $\left.e^{k y}\right|_{k=0}$, or equivalently admit $n=0$ in the sum (88).

We can solve the same equation with this new boundary condition and the source $f(x)=x$. With respect to the previous boundary condition, we have $f(x)=f_{\text {old }}(x)+5$; then we can use the solution to the previous case and add a solution that respects the boundary condition $T(x, 0)=5$. A constant respects all the required boundary condition, then the solution to this new problem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=5-\frac{40}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{\text {odd } n} \frac{1}{n^{2}} e^{-n \pi y / 10} \cos \frac{n \pi x}{10} . \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that since the right hand side of (70) is a single-valued function, the left hand side must be single-valued as well. Choosing $z=0$ yields $\operatorname{Ln} 1=0$ as expected for the principal value.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We do not consider the points $x=y=0$ or $x=10, y=0$ since the temperature is not well defined at these two points on the boundary of the rectangular plate.

