Let A be a real or complex  $n \times n$  matrix. The adjoint operator  $ad_A$ , which is a linear operator acting on the vector space of  $n \times n$  matrices, is defined by

$$\operatorname{ad}_A(B) = [A, B] \equiv AB - BA$$
. (1)

Note that

$$(\mathrm{ad}_A)^n(B) = \underbrace{\left[A, \cdots \left[A, \left[A, B\right]\right] \cdots\right]}_n$$
(2)

involves n nested commutators.

# Theorem 1:

$$e^{A}Be^{-A} = \exp(\operatorname{ad}_{A})(B) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\operatorname{ad}_{A})^{n}(B) = B + [A, B] + \frac{1}{2} [A, [A, B]] + \cdots$$
 (3)

**Proof:** Define

$$B(t) \equiv e^{tA} B e^{-tA} \,, \tag{4}$$

and compute the Taylor series of B(t) around the point t = 0. A simple computation yields B(0) = B and

$$\frac{dB(t)}{dt} = Ae^{tA}Be^{-tA} - e^{tA}Be^{-tA}A = [A, B(t)] = \mathrm{ad}_A(B(t)).$$
(5)

Higher derivatives can also be computed. It is a simple exercise to show that:

$$\frac{d^n B(t)}{dt^n} = (\mathrm{ad}_A)^n (B(t)) \,. \tag{6}$$

Theorem 1 then follows by substituting t = 1 in the resulting Taylor series expansion of B(t).

We now introduce two auxiliary functions that are defined by their power series:

$$f(z) = \frac{e^z - 1}{z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+1)!}, \qquad |z| < \infty,$$
(7)

$$g(z) = \frac{\ln z}{z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - z)^n}{n + 1}, \qquad |1 - z| < 1.$$
(8)

These functions satisfy:

$$f(\ln z) g(z) = 1$$
, for  $|1 - z| < 1$ , (9)

$$f(z) g(e^z) = 1, \qquad \text{for}|z| < \infty.$$
(10)

## Theorem 2:

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -f(\mathrm{ad}_A)\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right),\qquad(11)$$

where f(z) is defined via its Taylor series in eq. (7). Note that in general, A(t) does not commute with dA/dt. A simple example, A(t) = A + tB where A and B are independent of t and  $[A, B] \neq 0$ , illustrates this point. In the special case where [A(t), dA/dt] = 0, eq. (11) reduces to

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\frac{dA}{dt}, \quad \text{if} \quad \left[A(t), \frac{dA}{dt}\right] = 0.$$
 (12)

**Proof:** Define

$$B(s,t) \equiv e^{sA(t)} \frac{d}{dt} e^{-sA(t)} , \qquad (13)$$

and compute the Taylor series of B(s,t) around the point s = 0. It is straightforward to verify that B(0,t) = 0 and

$$\left. \frac{d^n B(s,t)}{ds^n} \right|_{s=0} = -\left( \operatorname{ad}_{A(t)} \right)^{n-1} \left( \frac{dA}{dt} \right) \,, \tag{14}$$

for all positive integers n. Assembling the Taylor series for B(s,t) and inserting s = 1 then yields Theorem 2. Note that if [A(t), dA/dt] = 0, then  $(d^n B(s,t)/ds^n)_{s=0} = 0$  for all  $n \ge 2$ , and we recover the result of eq. (12).

# Theorem 3:

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\int_0^1 e^{-sA} \frac{dA}{dt} e^{-(1-s)A} \, ds \,. \tag{15}$$

This integral representation is an alternative version of Theorem 2.

**Proof:** Consider

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left( e^{-sA} e^{-(1-s)B} \right) = -Ae^{-sA} e^{-(1-s)B} + e^{-sA} e^{-(1-s)B}B$$
$$= e^{-sA} (B-A)e^{-(1-s)B}.$$
(16)

Integrate eq. (16) from s = 0 to s = 1.

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d}{ds} \left( e^{-sA} e^{-(1-s)B} \right) = e^{-sA} e^{-(1-s)B} \Big|_{0}^{1} = e^{-A} - e^{-B}.$$
(17)

Using eq. (16), it follows that:

$$e^{-A} - e^{-B} = \int_0^1 ds \, e^{-sA} (B - A) e^{-(1-s)B} \,. \tag{18}$$

In eq. (18), we can replace  $B \longrightarrow A + hB$ , where h is an infinitesimal quantity:

$$e^{-A} - e^{-(A+hB)} = h \int_0^1 ds \, e^{-sA} B e^{-(1-s)(A+hB)} \,. \tag{19}$$

Taking the limit as  $h \to 0$ ,

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[ e^{-(A+hB)} - e^{-A} \right] = -\int_0^1 ds \, e^{-sA} B e^{-(1-s)A} \,. \tag{20}$$

Finally, we note that the definition of the derivative can be used to write:

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{e^{-A(t+h)} - e^{-A(t)}}{h}.$$
(21)

Using

$$A(t+h) = A(t) + h\frac{dA}{dt} + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \qquad (22)$$

it follows that:

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\exp\left[-\left(A(t) + h\frac{dA}{dt}\right)\right] - \exp\left[-A(t)\right]}{h}.$$
(23)

Thus, we can use the result of eq. (20) with B = dA/dt to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\int_0^1 e^{-sA} \frac{dA}{dt} e^{-(1-s)A} \, ds \,, \tag{24}$$

which is the result quoted in Theorem 3.

Second proof of Theorem 2: One can now derive Theorem 2 directly from Theorem 3. Multiply eq. (15) by  $e^{A(t)}$  to obtain:

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\int_0^1 e^{(1-s)A}\frac{dA}{dt}e^{-(1-s)A}\,ds\,.$$
(25)

Using Theorem 1 [see eq. (3)],

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\int_0^1 \exp\left[\operatorname{ad}_{(1-s)A}\right]\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right)\,ds$$
$$= -\int_0^1 e^{(1-s)\operatorname{ad}_A}\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right)\,ds\,.$$
(26)

Changing variables  $s \longrightarrow 1 - s$ , it follows that:

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\int_0^1 e^{s\operatorname{ad}_A}\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right)\,ds\,.$$
(27)

The integral over s is trivial, and one finds:

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = \frac{1 - e^{\operatorname{ad}_A}}{\operatorname{ad}_A}\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right) = -f(\operatorname{ad}_A)\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right), \qquad (28)$$

which coincides with Theorem 2.

## Theorem 4: The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff (BCH) formula

$$\ln\left(e^{A}e^{B}\right) = B + \int_{0}^{1} g\left[\exp(t \operatorname{ad}_{A})\exp(\operatorname{ad}_{B})\right](B) dt, \qquad (29)$$

where g(z) is defined via its Taylor series in eq. (8). Since g(z) is only defined for |1-z| < 1, it follows that the BCH formula for  $\ln(e^A e^B)$  converges provided that  $||e^A e^B - I|| < 1$ , where I is the identity matrix and  $||\cdots||$  is a suitably defined matrix norm. Expanding the BCH formula, using the Taylor series definition of g(z), yields:

$$e^{A}e^{B} = \exp\left(A + B + \frac{1}{2}[A, B] + \frac{1}{12}[A, [A, B]] + \frac{1}{12}[B, [B, A]] + \dots\right),$$
(30)

assuming that the resulting series is convergent. An example where the BCH series does not converge occurs for the following elements of  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ :

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-\lambda} & 0\\ 0 & -e^{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \exp\left[\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right] \exp\left[\pi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right],$$
(31)

where  $\lambda$  is any nonzero real number. It is easy to prove<sup>1</sup> that no matrix C exists such that  $M = \exp C$ . Nevertheless, the BCH formula is guaranteed to converge in a neighborhood of the identity of any Lie group.

#### **Proof of the BCH formula:** Define

$$C(t) = \ln(e^{tA}e^B). \tag{32}$$

or equivalently,

$$e^{C(t)} = e^{tA}e^B. aga{33}$$

Using Theorem 1, it follows that for any complex  $n \times n$  matrix H,

$$\exp\left[\operatorname{ad}_{C(t)}\right](H) = e^{C(t)}He^{-C(t)} = e^{tA}e^{B}He^{-tA}e^{-B}$$
$$= e^{tA}\left[\exp(\operatorname{ad}_{B})(H)\right]e^{-tA}$$
$$= \exp(\operatorname{ad}_{tA})\exp(\operatorname{ad}_{B})(H).$$
(34)

<sup>1</sup>The characteristic equation for any  $2 \times 2$  matrix A is given by

$$\lambda^2 - (\operatorname{Tr} A)\lambda + \det A = 0.$$

Hence, the eigenvalues of any  $2 \times 2$  traceless matrix  $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  [that is, A is an element of the Lie algebra of  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ ] are given by  $\lambda_{\pm} = \pm (-\det A)^{1/2}$ . Then,

Tr 
$$e^A = \exp(\lambda_+) + \exp(\lambda_-) = \begin{cases} 2\cosh |\det A|^{1/2}, & \text{if } \det A \le 0, \\ 2\cos |\det A|^{1/2}, & \text{if } \det A > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, if det  $A \leq 0$ , then Tr  $e^A \geq 2$ , and if det A > 0, then  $-2 \leq \text{Tr } e^A < 2$ . It follows that for any  $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ , Tr  $e^A \geq -2$ . For the matrix M defined in eq. (31), Tr  $M = -2 \cosh \lambda < -2$  for any nonzero real  $\lambda$ . Hence, no matrix C exists such that  $M = \exp C$ .

Hence, the following operator equation is valid:

$$\exp\left[\operatorname{ad}_{C(t)}\right] = \exp(t \operatorname{ad}_A) \exp(\operatorname{ad}_B), \qquad (35)$$

after noting that  $\exp(\operatorname{ad}_{tA}) = \exp(t \operatorname{ad}_A)$ . Next, we use Theorem 2 to write:

$$e^{C(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-C(t)} = -f(\operatorname{ad}_{C(t)})\left(\frac{dC}{dt}\right).$$
(36)

However, we can compute the left-hand side of eq. (36) directly:

$$e^{C(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-C(t)} = e^{tA}e^{B}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-B}e^{-tA} = e^{tA}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-tA} = -A, \qquad (37)$$

since B is independent of t, and tA commutes with  $\frac{d}{dt}(tA)$ . Combining the results of eqs. (36) and (37),

$$A = f(\operatorname{ad}_{C(t)})\left(\frac{dC}{dt}\right).$$
(38)

Multiplying both sides of eq. (38) by  $g(\exp \operatorname{ad}_{C(t)})$  and using eq. (10) yields:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = g(\exp \operatorname{ad}_{C(t)})(A).$$
(39)

Employing the operator equation, eq. (35), one may rewrite eq. (39) as:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = g(\exp(t \operatorname{ad}_A) \exp(\operatorname{ad}_B))(A), \qquad (40)$$

which is a differential equation for C(t). Integrating from t = 0 to t = T, one easily solves for C. The end result is

$$C(T) = B + \int_0^T g(\exp(t \operatorname{ad}_A) \exp(\operatorname{ad}_B))(A) \, dt \,, \tag{41}$$

where the constant of integration, B, has been obtained by setting T = 0. Finally, setting T = 1 in eq. (41) yields the BCH formula.

#### **References:**

The proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 4 can be found in section 5.1 of Symmetry Groups and Their Applications, by Willard Miller Jr. (Academic Press, New York, 1972). The proof of Theorem 3 is based on results given in section 6.5 of Positive Definite Matrices, by Rajendra Bhatia (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007). Bhatia notes that eq. (15) has been attributed variously to Duhamel, Dyson, Feynman and Schwinger. See also R.M. Wilcox, J. Math. Phys. 8, 962 (1967). Theorem 3 is also quoted in eq. (5.75) of Weak Interactions and Modern Particle Theory, by Howard Georgi (Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 2009) [although the proof of this result is relegated to an exercise].

The proof of Theorem 2 using the results of Theorem 3 is based on my own analysis, although I would not be surprised to find this proof elsewhere in the literature. Finally, a nice discussion of the  $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$  matrix that cannot be written as a single exponential can be found in section 3.4 of *Matrix Groups: An Introduction to Lie Group Theory*, by Andrew Baker (Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 2002), and in section 10.5(b) of *Group Theory in Physics*, Volume 2, by J.F. Cornwell (Academic Press, London, UK, 1984).