1. Properties of the Matrix Exponential

Let A be a real or complex $n \times n$ matrix. The exponential of A is defined via its Taylor series,

$$e^A = I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A^n}{n!}, \qquad (1)$$

where I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The radius of convergence of the above series is infinite. Consequently, eq. (1) converges for all matrices A. In these notes, we discuss a number of key results involving the matrix exponential and provide proofs of three important theorems. First, we consider some elementary properties.

Property 1: If $[A, B] \equiv AB - BA = 0$, then

$$e^{A+B} = e^A e^B = e^B e^A \,. \tag{2}$$

This result can be proved directly from the definition of the matrix exponential given by eq. (1). The details are left to the ambitious reader.

Remarkably, the converse of property 1 is FALSE. One counterexample is sufficient. Consider the 2×2 complex matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2\pi i \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2\pi i \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3)

An elementary calculation yields

$$e^{A} = e^{B} = e^{A+B} = I,$$
 (4)

where I is the 2×2 identity matrix. Hence, eq. (2) is satisfied. Nevertheless, it is a simple matter to check that $AB \neq BA$, i.e., $[A, B] \neq 0$.

Indeed, one can use the above counterexample to construct a second counterexample that employs only real matrices. Here, we make use of the well known isomorphism between the complex numbers and real 2×2 matrices, which is given by the mapping

$$z = a + ib \quad \longmapsto \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} . \tag{5}$$

It is straightforward to check that this isomorphism respects the multiplication law of two complex numbers. Using eq. (5), we can replace each complex number in eq. (3) with the corresponding real 2×2 matrix,

One can again check that eq. (4) is satisfied, where I is now the 4×4 identity matrix, whereas $AB \neq BA$ as before.

It turns out that a small modification of Property 1 is sufficient to avoid any such counterexamples.

Property 2: If $e^{t(A+B)} = e^{tA}e^{tB} = e^{tB}e^{tA}$, where $t \in (a, b)$ (where a < b) lies within some open interval of the real line, then it follows that [A, B] = 0.

Property 3: If S is a non-singular matrix, then for any matrix A,

$$\exp\{SAS^{-1}\} = Se^{A}S^{-1}.$$
 (6)

The above result can be derived simply by making use of the Taylor series definition [cf. eq. (1)] for the matrix exponential.

Property 4: If [A(t), dA/dt] = 0, then

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{A(t)} = e^{A(t)}\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = \frac{dA(t)}{dt}e^{A(t)} \,. \label{eq:alpha}$$

This result should be self evident since it replicates the well known result for ordinary (commuting) functions. Note that Theorem 2 below generalizes this result in the case of $[A(t), dA/dt] \neq 0$

Property 5: If [A, [A, B]] = 0, then $e^A B e^{-A} = B + [A, B]$.

To prove this result, we define

$$B(t) \equiv e^{tA} B e^{-tA} \,,$$

and compute

$$\frac{dB(t)}{dt} = Ae^{tA}Be^{-tA} - e^{tA}Be^{-tA}A = [A, B(t)],$$

$$\frac{d^2B(t)}{dt^2} = A^2e^{tA}Be^{-tA} - 2Ae^{tA}Be^{-tA}A + e^{tA}Be^{-tA}A^2 = [A, [A, B(t)]].$$

By assumption, [A, [A, B]] = 0, which must also be true if one replaces $A \to tA$ for any number t. Hence, it follows that [A, [A, B(t)]] = 0, and we can conclude that $d^2B(t)/dt^2 = 0$. It then follows that B(t) is a linear function of t, which can be written as

$$B(t) = B(0) + t \left(\frac{dB(t)}{dt}\right)_{t=0}$$

Noting that B(0) = B and $(dB(t)/dt)_{t=0} = [A, B]$, we end up with

$$e^{tA} B e^{-tA} = B + t[A, B].$$
 (7)

By setting t = 1, we arrive at the desired result. If the double commutator does not vanish, then one obtains a more general result, which is presented in Theorem 1 below.

If $[A, B] \neq 0$, the $e^A e^B \neq e^{A+B}$. The general result is called the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula, which will be proved in Theorem 4 below. Here, we shall prove a somewhat simpler version,

Property 6: If
$$[A, [A, B]] = [B, [A, B]] = 0$$
, then

$$e^{A}e^{B} = \exp\left\{A + B + \frac{1}{2}[A, B]\right\}.$$
(8)

To prove eq. (8), we define a function,

$$F(t) = e^{tA} e^{tB} \,.$$

We shall now derive a differential equation for F(t). Taking the derivative of F(t) with respect to t yields

$$\frac{dF}{dt} = Ae^{tA}e^{tB} + e^{tA}e^{tB} \ B = AF(t) + e^{tA}Be^{-tA}F(t) = \{A + B + t[A, B]\}F(t), \quad (9)$$

after noting that B commutes with e^{Bt} and employing eq. (7). By assumption, both A and B, and hence their sum, commutes with [A, B]. Thus, in light of Property 4 above, it follows that the solution to eq. (9) is

$$F(t) = \exp\{t(A+B) + \frac{1}{2}t^{2}[A, B]\}F(0).$$

Setting t = 0, we identify F(0) = I, where I is the identity matrix. Finally, setting t = 1 yields eq. (8).

Property 7: For any matrix A,

$$\det \exp A = \exp\{\operatorname{Tr} A\}.$$
 (10)

If A is diagonalizable, then one can use Property 3, where S is chosen to diagonallize A. In this case, $D = SAS^{-1} = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, where the λ_i are the eigenvalues of A (allowing for degeneracies among the eigenvalues if present). It then follows that

$$\det e^{A} = \prod_{i} e^{\lambda_{i}} = e^{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \dots + \lambda_{n}} = \exp\{\operatorname{Tr} A\}.$$

However, not all matrices are diagonalizable. One can modify the above derivation by employing the Jordan canonical form. But, here I prefer another technique that is applicable to all matrices whether or not they are diagonalizable. The idea is to define a function

$$f(t) = \det e^{At}$$

and then derive a differential equation for f(t). If $|\delta t/t| \ll 1$, then

$$\det e^{A(t+\delta t)} = \det(e^{At}e^{A\delta t}) = \det e^{At} \det e^{A\delta t} = \det e^{At} \det(I + A\delta t), \qquad (11)$$

after expanding out $e^{A\delta t}$ to linear order in δt .

We now consider

$$\det(I + A\delta t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 + A_{11}\delta t & A_{12}\delta t & \dots & A_{1n}\delta t \\ A_{21}\delta t & 1 + A_{22}\delta t & \dots & A_{2n}\delta t \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n1}\delta t & A_{n2}\delta t & \dots & 1 + A_{nn}\delta \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (1 + A_{11}\delta t)(1 + A_{22}\delta t)\cdots(1 + A_{nn}\delta t) + \mathcal{O}((\delta t)^2)$$
$$= 1 + \delta t(A_{11} + A_{22} + \dots + A_{nn}) + \mathcal{O}((\delta t)^2) = 1 + \delta t \operatorname{Tr} A + \mathcal{O}((\delta t)^2).$$

Inserting this result back into eq. (11) yields

$$\frac{\det e^{A(t+\delta t)} - \det e^{At}}{\delta t} = \operatorname{Tr} A \, \det e^{At} + \mathcal{O}(\delta t) \,.$$

Taking the limit as $\delta t \to 0$ yields the differential equation,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \det e^{At} = \operatorname{Tr} A \, \det e^{At} \,. \tag{12}$$

The solution to this equation is

$$\ln \det e^{At} = t \operatorname{Tr} A, \qquad (13)$$

where the constant of integration has been determined by noting that $(\det e^{At})_{t=0} = \det I = 1$. Exponentiating eq. (13), we end up with

$$\det e^{At} = \exp\{t \operatorname{Tr} A\}.$$

Finally, setting t = 1 yields eq. (10).

Note that this last derivation holds for any matrix A (including matrices that are singular and/or are not diagonalizable).

<u>Remark</u>: For any invertible matrix function A(t), Jacobi's formula is

$$\frac{d}{dt}\det A(t) = \det A(t)\operatorname{Tr}\left(A^{-1}(t)\frac{dA(t)}{dt}\right).$$
(14)

Note that for $A(t) = e^{At}$, eq. (14) reduces to eq. (12) derived above.

2. Four Important Theorems Involving the Matrix Exponential

The adjoint operator ad_A , which is a linear operator acting on the vector space of $n \times n$ matrices, is defined by

$$\operatorname{ad}_A(B) = [A, B] \equiv AB - BA.$$
 (15)

Note that

$$(\mathrm{ad}_A)^n(B) = \underbrace{\left[A, \cdots \left[A, \left[A, B\right]\right] \cdots \right]}_n \tag{16}$$

involves n nested commutators.

Theorem 1:

$$e^{A}Be^{-A} = \exp(\operatorname{ad}_{A})(B) \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} (\operatorname{ad}_{A})^{n}(B) = B + [A, B] + \frac{1}{2} [A, [A, B]] + \cdots$$
 (17)

Proof: Define

$$B(t) \equiv e^{tA} B e^{-tA} \,, \tag{18}$$

and compute the Taylor series of B(t) around the point t = 0. A simple computation yields B(0) = B and

$$\frac{dB(t)}{dt} = Ae^{tA}Be^{-tA} - e^{tA}Be^{-tA}A = [A, B(t)] = \mathrm{ad}_A(B(t)).$$
(19)

Higher derivatives can also be computed. It is a simple exercise to show that:

$$\frac{d^n B(t)}{dt^n} = (\mathrm{ad}_A)^n (B(t)) \,. \tag{20}$$

Theorem 1 then follows by substituting t = 1 in the resulting Taylor series expansion of B(t).

We now introduce two auxiliary functions that are defined by their power series:

$$f(z) = \frac{e^z - 1}{z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+1)!}, \qquad |z| < \infty,$$
(21)

$$g(z) = \frac{\ln z}{z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - z)^n}{n + 1}, \qquad |1 - z| < 1.$$
(22)

These functions satisfy:

$$f(\ln z) g(z) = 1$$
, for $|1 - z| < 1$, (23)

$$f(z) g(e^z) = 1, \qquad \text{for}|z| < \infty.$$
(24)

Theorem 2:

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -f(\operatorname{ad}_A)\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right), \qquad (25)$$

where f(z) is defined via its Taylor series in eq. (21). Note that in general, A(t) does not commute with dA/dt. A simple example, A(t) = A + tB where A and B are independent of t and $[A, B] \neq 0$, illustrates this point. In the special case where [A(t), dA/dt] = 0, eq. (25) reduces to

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\frac{dA}{dt}, \quad \text{if} \quad \left[A(t), \frac{dA}{dt}\right] = 0.$$
 (26)

Proof: Define

$$B(s,t) \equiv e^{sA(t)} \frac{d}{dt} e^{-sA(t)} , \qquad (27)$$

and compute the Taylor series of B(s,t) around the point s = 0. It is straightforward to verify that B(0,t) = 0 and

$$\left. \frac{d^n B(s,t)}{ds^n} \right|_{s=0} = -(\operatorname{ad}_{A(t)})^{n-1} \left(\frac{dA}{dt} \right) \,, \tag{28}$$

for all positive integers n. Assembling the Taylor series for B(s,t) and inserting s = 1 then yields Theorem 2. Note that if [A(t), dA/dt] = 0, then $(d^n B(s,t)/ds^n)_{s=0} = 0$ for all $n \ge 2$, and we recover the result of eq. (26).

Theorem 3:

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\int_0^1 e^{-sA} \frac{dA}{dt} e^{-(1-s)A} \, ds \,.$$
(29)

This integral representation is an alternative version of Theorem 2.

Proof: Consider

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left(e^{-sA} e^{-(1-s)B} \right) = -A e^{-sA} e^{-(1-s)B} + e^{-sA} e^{-(1-s)B} B$$
$$= e^{-sA} (B-A) e^{-(1-s)B} .$$
(30)

Integrate eq. (30) from s = 0 to s = 1.

$$\int_0^1 \frac{d}{ds} \left(e^{-sA} e^{-(1-s)B} \right) = e^{-sA} e^{-(1-s)B} \Big|_0^1 = e^{-A} - e^{-B}.$$
(31)

Using eq. (30), it follows that:

$$e^{-A} - e^{-B} = \int_0^1 ds \, e^{-sA} (B - A) e^{-(1-s)B} \,. \tag{32}$$

In eq. (32), we can replace $B \longrightarrow A + hB$, where h is an infinitesimal quantity:

$$e^{-A} - e^{-(A+hB)} = h \int_0^1 ds \, e^{-sA} B e^{-(1-s)(A+hB)} \,.$$
(33)

Taking the limit as $h \to 0$,

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{h} \left[e^{-(A+hB)} - e^{-A} \right] = -\int_0^1 ds \, e^{-sA} B e^{-(1-s)A} \,. \tag{34}$$

Finally, we note that the definition of the derivative can be used to write:

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{e^{-A(t+h)} - e^{-A(t)}}{h}.$$
(35)

Using

$$A(t+h) = A(t) + h\frac{dA}{dt} + \mathcal{O}(h^2), \qquad (36)$$

it follows that:

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\exp\left[-\left(A(t) + h\frac{dA}{dt}\right)\right] - \exp[-A(t)]}{h}.$$
(37)

Thus, we can use the result of eq. (34) with B = dA/dt to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\int_0^1 e^{-sA} \frac{dA}{dt} e^{-(1-s)A} \, ds \,, \tag{38}$$

which is the result quoted in Theorem 3.

Second proof of Theorem 2: One can now derive Theorem 2 directly from Theorem 3. Multiply eq. (29) by $e^{A(t)}$ to obtain:

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\int_0^1 e^{(1-s)A}\frac{dA}{dt}e^{-(1-s)A}\,ds\,.$$
(39)

Using Theorem 1 [see eq. (17)],

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\int_0^1 \exp\left[\operatorname{ad}_{(1-s)A}\right]\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right)\,ds$$
$$= -\int_0^1 e^{(1-s)\operatorname{ad}_A}\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right)\,ds\,.$$
(40)

Changing variables $s \longrightarrow 1 - s$, it follows that:

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = -\int_0^1 e^{s\operatorname{ad}_A}\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right)\,ds\,.$$
(41)

The integral over s is trivial, and one finds:

$$e^{A(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-A(t)} = \frac{1 - e^{\operatorname{ad}_A}}{\operatorname{ad}_A}\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right) = -f(\operatorname{ad}_A)\left(\frac{dA}{dt}\right) , \qquad (42)$$

which coincides with Theorem 2.

Theorem 4: The Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff (BCH) formula

$$\ln\left(e^{A}e^{B}\right) = B + \int_{0}^{1} g\left[\exp(t \operatorname{ad}_{A})\exp(\operatorname{ad}_{B})\right](A) dt, \qquad (43)$$

where g(z) is defined via its Taylor series in eq. (22). Since g(z) is only defined for |1-z| < 1, it follows that the BCH formula for $\ln(e^A e^B)$ converges provided that $||e^A e^B - I|| < 1$, where I is the identity matrix and $||\cdots||$ is a suitably defined matrix norm. Expanding the BCH formula, using the Taylor series definition of g(z), yields:

$$e^{A}e^{B} = \exp\left(A + B + \frac{1}{2}[A, B] + \frac{1}{12}[A, [A, B]] + \frac{1}{12}[B, [B, A]] + \ldots\right),$$
(44)

assuming that the resulting series is convergent. An example where the BCH series does not converge occurs for the following elements of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-\lambda} & 0\\ 0 & -e^{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \exp\left[\lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right] \exp\left[\pi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right],$$
(45)

where λ is any nonzero real number. It is easy to prove¹ that no matrix C exists such that $M = \exp C$. Nevertheless, the BCH formula is guaranteed to converge in a neighborhood of the identity of any Lie group.

Proof of the BCH formula: Define

$$C(t) = \ln(e^{tA}e^B).$$
(46)

or equivalently,

$$e^{C(t)} = e^{tA}e^B. (47)$$

Using Theorem 1, it follows that for any complex $n \times n$ matrix H,

$$\exp\left[\operatorname{ad}_{C(t)}\right](H) = e^{C(t)}He^{-C(t)} = e^{tA}e^{B}He^{-tA}e^{-B}$$
$$= e^{tA}\left[\exp(\operatorname{ad}_{B})(H)\right]e^{-tA}$$
$$= \exp(\operatorname{ad}_{tA})\exp(\operatorname{ad}_{B})(H).$$
(48)

Hence, the following operator equation is valid:

$$\exp\left[\mathrm{ad}_{C(t)}\right] = \exp(t\,\mathrm{ad}_A)\exp(\mathrm{ad}_B)\,,\tag{49}$$

after noting that $\exp(\operatorname{ad}_{tA}) = \exp(t \operatorname{ad}_{A})$. Next, we use Theorem 2 to write:

$$e^{C(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-C(t)} = -f(\operatorname{ad}_{C(t)})\left(\frac{dC}{dt}\right).$$
(50)

However, we can compute the left-hand side of eq. (50) directly:

$$e^{C(t)}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-C(t)} = e^{tA}e^{B}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-B}e^{-tA} = e^{tA}\frac{d}{dt}e^{-tA} = -A,$$
(51)

¹The characteristic equation for any 2×2 matrix A is given by

$$\lambda^2 - (\operatorname{Tr} A)\lambda + \det A = 0.$$

Hence, the eigenvalues of any 2×2 traceless matrix $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ [that is, A is an element of the Lie algebra of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$] are given by $\lambda_{\pm} = \pm (-\det A)^{1/2}$. Then,

Tr
$$e^A = \exp(\lambda_+) + \exp(\lambda_-) = \begin{cases} 2\cosh|\det A|^{1/2}, & \text{if det } A \le 0, \\ 2\cos|\det A|^{1/2}, & \text{if det } A > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, if det $A \leq 0$, then Tr $e^A \geq 2$, and if det A > 0, then $-2 \leq \text{Tr } e^A < 2$. It follows that for any $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$, Tr $e^A \geq -2$. For the matrix M defined in eq. (45), Tr $M = -2 \cosh \lambda < -2$ for any nonzero real λ . Hence, no matrix C exists such that $M = \exp C$.

since B is independent of t, and tA commutes with $\frac{d}{dt}(tA)$. Combining the results of eqs. (50) and (51),

$$A = f(\mathrm{ad}_{C(t)})\left(\frac{dC}{dt}\right) \,. \tag{52}$$

Multiplying both sides of eq. (52) by $g(\exp \operatorname{ad}_{C(t)})$ and using eq. (24) yields:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = g(\exp \operatorname{ad}_{C(t)})(A).$$
(53)

Employing the operator equation, eq. (49), one may rewrite eq. (53) as:

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = g(\exp(t \operatorname{ad}_A) \exp(\operatorname{ad}_B))(A), \qquad (54)$$

which is a differential equation for C(t). Integrating from t = 0 to t = T, one easily solves for C. The end result is

$$C(T) = B + \int_0^T g(\exp(t \operatorname{ad}_A) \exp(\operatorname{ad}_B))(A) dt, \qquad (55)$$

where the constant of integration, B, has been obtained by setting T = 0. Finally, setting T = 1 in eq. (55) yields the BCH formula.

Finally, we shall use eq. (43) to obtain the terms exhibited in eq. (44). In light of the series definition of g(z) given in eq. (22), we need to compute

$$I - \exp(t \operatorname{ad}_{A}) \exp(\operatorname{ad}_{B}) = I - (I + t \operatorname{ad}_{A} + \frac{1}{2}t^{2} \operatorname{ad}_{A}^{2})(I + \operatorname{ad}_{B} + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}_{B}^{2})$$

= $-\operatorname{ad}_{B} - t \operatorname{ad}_{A} - t \operatorname{ad}_{A} \operatorname{ad}_{B} - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}_{B}^{2} - \frac{1}{2}t^{2} \operatorname{ad}_{A}^{2},$ (56)

and

$$\left[I - \exp(t \operatorname{ad}_A) \exp(\operatorname{ad}_B)\right]^2 = \operatorname{ad}_B^2 + t \operatorname{ad}_A \operatorname{ad}_B + t \operatorname{ad}_B \operatorname{ad}_A + t^2 \operatorname{ad}_A^2,$$
(57)

after dropping cubic terms and higher. Hence, using eq. (22),

$$g(\exp(t \operatorname{ad}_{A}) \exp(\operatorname{ad}_{B})) = I - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}_{B} - \frac{1}{2}t \operatorname{ad}_{A} - \frac{1}{6}t \operatorname{ad}_{A} \operatorname{ad}_{B} + \frac{1}{3}t \operatorname{ad}_{B} \operatorname{ad}_{A} + \frac{1}{12}\operatorname{ad}_{B}^{2} + \frac{1}{12}t^{2} \operatorname{ad}_{A}^{2}.$$
 (58)

Noting that $ad_A(A) = [A, A] = 0$, it follows that to cubic order,

$$B + \int_{0}^{1} g(\exp(t \operatorname{ad}_{A}) \exp(\operatorname{ad}_{B}))(A) dt = B + A - \frac{1}{2}[B, A] - \frac{1}{12}[A, [B, A]] + \frac{1}{12}[B, [B, A]]$$
$$= A + B + \frac{1}{2}[A, B] + \frac{1}{12}[A, [A, B]] + \frac{1}{12}[B, [B, A]],$$
(59)

which confirms the result of eq. (44).

References:

The proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 4 can be found in section 5.1 of Symmetry Groups and Their Applications, by Willard Miller Jr. (Academic Press, New York, 1972). The proof of Theorem 3 is based on results given in section 6.5 of Positive Definite Matrices, by Rajendra Bhatia (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007). Bhatia notes that eq. (29) has been attributed variously to Duhamel, Dyson, Feynman and Schwinger. See also R.M. Wilcox, J. Math. Phys. 8, 962 (1967). Theorem 3 is also quoted in eq. (5.75) of Weak Interactions and Modern Particle Theory, by Howard Georgi (Dover Publications, Mineola, NY, 2009) [although the proof of this result is relegated to an exercise].

The proof of Theorem 2 using the results of Theorem 3 is based on my own analysis, although I would not be surprised to find this proof elsewhere in the literature. Finally, a nice discussion of the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ matrix that cannot be written as a single exponential can be found in section 3.4 of *Matrix Groups: An Introduction to Lie Group Theory*, by Andrew Baker (Springer-Verlag, London, UK, 2002), and in section 10.5(b) of *Group Theory in Physics*, Volume 2, by J.F. Cornwell (Academic Press, London, UK, 1984).