

We first consider a massless spin-1 particle moving in the  $z$ -direction with four-momentum  $k^\mu = E(1; 0, 0, 1)$ . The textbook expressions for the helicity  $\pm 1$  polarization vectors of a massless spin-1 boson are given by [1–4]:

$$\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \pm 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0; \mp 1, -i, 0). \quad (1)$$

Note that the  $\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \lambda)$  are normalized eigenvectors of the spin-1 operator  $\vec{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ ,

$$(\vec{\mathcal{S}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}})^\mu{}_\nu \varepsilon^\nu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \lambda) = \lambda \varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \lambda), \quad \text{for } \lambda = \pm 1, \quad (2)$$

where  $\mathcal{S}^i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\mathcal{S}_{jk}$  (with  $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$  and  $\epsilon^{123} = +1$ ), and the matrix elements of the  $4 \times 4$  matrices  $\mathcal{S}_{jk}$  are given by<sup>1</sup>

$$(\mathcal{S}_{\rho\sigma})^\mu{}_\nu = i(g_\rho^\mu g_{\sigma\nu} - g_\sigma^\mu g_{\rho\nu}). \quad (3)$$

To accommodate photons traveling along the  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ -direction, one can transform  $\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \lambda)$  to  $\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)$  by employing a three-dimensional rotation  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \mathcal{R}\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ . Explicitly, the rotation operator can be parameterized in terms of three Euler angles (e.g., see Refs. [5, 6]):

$$\mathcal{R}(\phi, \theta, \gamma) \equiv R(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \phi) R(\hat{\mathbf{y}}, \theta) R(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \gamma), \quad (4)$$

The Euler angles can be chosen to lie in the range  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$  and  $0 \leq \phi, \gamma < 2\pi$ . Here,  $R(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \theta)$  is a  $3 \times 3$  orthogonal matrix that represents a rotation by an angle  $\theta$  about a fixed axis  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ ,

$$R^{ij}(\hat{\mathbf{n}}, \theta) = \exp(-i\theta\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{S}}) = n^i n^j + (\delta^{ij} - n^i n^j) \cos \theta - \epsilon^{ijk} n^k \sin \theta, \quad (5)$$

where the  $\vec{\mathcal{S}} = (S^1, S^2, S^3)$  are three  $3 \times 3$  matrices whose matrix elements are given by  $(S^i)^{jk} = -i\epsilon^{ijk}$  [i.e., the lower right hand  $3 \times 3$  block of the matrices  $\mathcal{S}^i$  defined above eq. (3)].

Thus, the polarization vector for a massless spin-1 boson of energy  $E$  moving in the direction  $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = (\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)$  is obtained as follows:

$$\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda) = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu(\phi, \theta, \gamma) \varepsilon^\nu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \lambda), \quad (6)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Recall that the most general proper orthochronous Lorentz transformation (which is continuously connected to the identity), corresponding to a rotation by an angle  $\theta$  about an axis  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  [ $\vec{\theta} \equiv \theta\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ ] and a boost vector  $\vec{\zeta} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{v}} \tanh^{-1} \beta$  [where  $\hat{\mathbf{v}} \equiv \vec{\mathbf{v}}/|\vec{\mathbf{v}}|$  and  $\beta \equiv |\vec{\mathbf{v}}|$ ], is a  $4 \times 4$  matrix given by:

$$\Lambda = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}i\theta^{\rho\sigma}\mathcal{S}_{\rho\sigma}\right) = \exp\left(-i\vec{\theta} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{S}} - i\vec{\zeta} \cdot \vec{\mathcal{K}}\right),$$

where  $\theta^i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\theta_{jk}$ ,  $\zeta^i \equiv \theta^{i0} = -\theta^{0i}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}^i \equiv \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}\mathcal{S}_{jk}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}^i \equiv \mathcal{S}^{0i} = -\mathcal{S}^{i0}$  and the  $(\mathcal{S}_{\rho\sigma})^\mu{}_\nu$  are given by eq. (3). The  $\mathcal{S}_{jk}$  correspond to the generators of rotation and thus provide the relevant matrix representations for the spin-1 operators.

where

$$\Lambda^0_0 = 1, \quad \Lambda^i_0 = \Lambda^0_i = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^i_j = \mathcal{R}^{ij}(\phi, \theta, \gamma), \quad (7)$$

and  $\mathcal{R}(\phi, \theta, \gamma)$  is the rotation matrix introduced in eq. (4). Actually, the angle  $\gamma$  can be chosen arbitrarily, since the desired rotation is accomplished by employing the angles  $\theta$  and  $\phi$ . In the literature, one typically finds conventions where  $\gamma = -\phi$  [1, 2, 7] or  $\gamma = 0$  [3]. Ultimately, the dependence of the polarization vectors on the angle  $\gamma$  yields an unimportant overall phase factor. A simple computation yields:

$$\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \pm 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{\mp i\gamma} (0; \mp \cos\theta \cos\phi + i \sin\phi, \mp \cos\theta \sin\phi - i \cos\phi, \pm \sin\theta). \quad (8)$$

Note that  $\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \pm 1)$  depends only on the direction of  $\vec{\mathbf{k}}$  and not on its magnitude  $E = |\vec{\mathbf{k}}|$ . One can easily check that the  $\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \pm 1)$  are normalized eigenstates of  $\vec{\mathbf{S}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}$  with corresponding eigenvalues  $\pm 1$ . The positive and negative helicity massless spin-1 polarization vectors satisfy:

$$k \cdot \varepsilon(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(k, \lambda) \cdot \varepsilon(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda')^* = -\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}. \quad (9)$$

Consider again the case of a massless spin-1 particle moving in the  $z$ -direction with four-momentum

$$k^\mu = E(1; 0, 0, 1). \quad (10)$$

The positive and negative helicity polarization vectors are given in eq. (1). We now introduce a fixed timelike four-vector,

$$n^\mu = (1; 0, 0, 0). \quad (11)$$

To construct the polarization sum over the physical (positive and negative helicity) polarization states, it is convenient to introduce two additional (unphysical) polarization vectors,

$$\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 0) = n^\mu = (1; 0, 0, 0), \quad (12)$$

$$\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 3) = k^\mu/E - n^\mu = (0; 0, 0, 1), \quad (13)$$

where  $k^\mu$  is given by eq. (10). It then follows that<sup>2</sup>

$$\varepsilon(k, \lambda) \cdot \varepsilon(k, \lambda')^* = \eta_\lambda \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$\eta_\lambda = \begin{cases} +1, & \text{for } \lambda = 0, \\ -1, & \text{for } \lambda = \pm 1 \text{ and } 3. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>Alternatively, one can choose the physical polarization states to be linear combinations of the positive and negative helicity states given in eq. (1) with

$$\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 1) = (0; 1, 0, 0), \quad \varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 2) = (0; 0, 1, 0).$$

in which case, eq. (14) can be written as

$$\varepsilon(k, \lambda) \cdot \varepsilon(k, \lambda')^* = g_{\lambda\lambda'},$$

where  $g_{\lambda\lambda'} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$  is the Minkowski metric tensor [8].

That is, the four polarization vectors,  $\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 0)$ ,  $\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \pm 1)$ , and  $\varepsilon^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 3)$  constitute an orthonormal basis for four-vectors in Minkowski space. Consequently, they must obey the following completeness relation,

$$\varepsilon_\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 0)\varepsilon_\nu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 0)^* - \sum_{\lambda=\pm 1, 3} \varepsilon_\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \lambda)\varepsilon_\nu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \lambda)^* = g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (16)$$

We can therefore isolate the sum over the physical polarization states,

$$\sum_{\lambda=\pm 1} \varepsilon_\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \lambda)\varepsilon_\nu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \lambda)^* = -g_{\mu\nu} + \varepsilon_\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 0)\varepsilon_\nu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 0)^* - \varepsilon_\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 3)\varepsilon_\nu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 3)^*. \quad (17)$$

It is convenient to rewrite eq. (17) with the help of eqs. (12) and (13). Noting that  $k \cdot n = E$  [cf. eqs. (10) and (11)], it follows that

$$\sum_{\lambda=\pm 1} \varepsilon_\mu(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)\varepsilon_\nu(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)^* = -g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{(k \cdot n)^2} + \frac{k_\mu n_\nu + k_\nu n_\mu}{k \cdot n}. \quad (18)$$

Although eq. (18) was derived for the case of  $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ , it is straightforward to check that eq. (18) is also valid for the case where  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$  points in an arbitrary direction.<sup>3</sup>

An alternative form for eq. (18) is obtained by introducing the four-vector

$$\bar{k}^\mu \equiv 2(k \cdot n)n^\mu - k^\mu. \quad (19)$$

More explicitly, if  $k^\mu = (E; \vec{\mathbf{k}})$ , where  $E = |\vec{\mathbf{k}}|$  for a massless particle, then  $k \cdot n = E$  and  $\bar{k}^\mu = (E; -\vec{\mathbf{k}})$ . Then, it follows that

$$\sum_{\lambda=\pm 1} \varepsilon_\mu(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)\varepsilon_\nu(\hat{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)^* = -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_\mu \bar{k}_\nu + k_\nu \bar{k}_\mu}{k \cdot \bar{k}}. \quad (20)$$

Indeed, using the fact that  $k \cdot \bar{k} = 2(k \cdot n)^2$  [since  $k^2 = 0$  for a massless particle], one can easily verify that eqs. (18) and (20) are equivalent.

It should be appreciated that the sum over physical massless spin-1 polarization states is not Lorentz covariant, since  $n^\mu$  is fixed and does not transform under a Lorentz transformation.<sup>4</sup> That is, the sum over physical massless spin-1 polarization states depends on the frame of reference of the spin-1 particle. Nevertheless, in scattering or decay processes involving massless spin-1 particles, the dependence on the four-vector  $n^\mu$  (or equivalently, the four-vector  $\bar{k}^\mu$ ) must drop out of any expression for a physical (i.e., measurable) observable.

---

<sup>3</sup>After raising the indices  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in eq. (18), one simply multiplies both sides of the resulting equation by  $\Lambda^\alpha_\mu \Lambda^\beta_\nu$ , where the matrix elements of  $\Lambda$  are specified in eq. (7). In particular, let us denote the four-momentum defined in eq. (10) by  $k_z^\mu = E(1; 0, 0, 1)$ . Then it follows that  $k^\alpha = \Lambda^\alpha_\mu k_z^\mu = E(1; \hat{\mathbf{k}})$ . In addition, in light of eq. (11), we have  $\Lambda^\alpha_\mu n^\mu = n^\alpha$  and  $k \cdot n = E$  independently of the direction of  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$ .

<sup>4</sup>However, the polarization sum is covariant with respect to three-dimensional rotations, since  $n^\mu$  is rotationally invariant. In contrast,  $n^\mu$  (which by definition remains fixed under a Lorentz transformation) does not behave like a four-vector with respect to Lorentz boosts.

The results above should be contrasted with the case of a spin-1 particle of mass  $m \neq 0$ . The expressions given by eqs. (1) and (8) also apply in the case of a massive spin-1 particle. In addition, there exists an helicity  $\lambda = 0$  polarization vector that depends on the magnitude of the momentum as well as its direction:

$$\varepsilon^\mu(|\vec{\mathbf{k}}|\hat{\mathbf{z}}, 0) = (|\vec{\mathbf{k}}|/m; 0, 0, E/m), \quad (21)$$

where  $E = (|\vec{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + m^2)^{1/2}$ . One can use eq. (6) to obtain the helicity zero polarization vector for a massive spin-1 particle moving in an arbitrary direction

$$\varepsilon^\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, 0) = \frac{1}{m} \left( |\vec{\mathbf{k}}|; E \sin \theta \cos \phi, E \sin \theta \sin \phi, E \cos \theta \right). \quad (22)$$

Note that both the massless and massive spin-1 polarization vectors satisfy:<sup>5</sup>

$$\epsilon^\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)^* = (-1)^\lambda \epsilon^\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, -\lambda). \quad (23)$$

One can check that the  $\epsilon^\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)$  of a massive spin-one particle also satisfy,

$$\mathbf{k} \cdot \epsilon(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda) = 0, \quad \epsilon(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda) \cdot \epsilon(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda')^* = -\delta_{\lambda\lambda'}, \quad (24)$$

for helicity states  $\lambda = -1, 0, +1$ .

To construct the polarization sum for a massive spin-1 particle, we introduce a fourth unphysical polarization vector,

$$\varepsilon^\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, S) = k^\mu/m, \quad (25)$$

where  $S$  stands for the unphysical ‘‘scalar’’ mode. Note that since  $k^2 = m^2$  for a particle of mass  $m$ , it follows that  $\varepsilon^\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, S) \cdot \varepsilon^\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, S)^* = 1$ . In addition,  $\varepsilon^\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, S) \cdot \varepsilon^\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)^* = 0$  for  $\lambda = -1, 0, +1$ . Hence the four polarization vectors  $\varepsilon^\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)$ ,  $\lambda = S, -1, 0, +1$  form an orthonormal basis for four-vectors in Minkowski space. It then follows that the corresponding completeness relation,

$$\varepsilon_\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, S) \varepsilon_\nu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, S)^* - \sum_{\lambda=-1,0,+1} \varepsilon_\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda) \varepsilon_\nu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)^* = g_{\mu\nu}, \quad (26)$$

must be satisfied. In light of eq. (25), we obtain the following expression for the sum over physical polarization states of a spin-1 particle of mass  $m \neq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{\lambda=-1,0,+1} \varepsilon_\mu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda) \varepsilon_\nu(\vec{\mathbf{k}}, \lambda)^* = -g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{m^2}. \quad (27)$$

In contrast to the case of the massless spin-1 particle, the polarization sum for a massive spin-1 particle given in eq. (27) is Lorentz covariant.

There is no smooth limit as  $m \rightarrow 0$  for the polarization states of a spin-1 particle. This is because the massive spin-1 particle exhibits three possible helicities, whereas the massless spin-1 particle exhibits two possible helicities. Further details on polarization vectors for both massless and massive spin-1 states can be found in Refs. [8, 10].

---

<sup>5</sup>Some authors introduce polarization vectors where the sign factor  $(-1)^\lambda$  in eq. (23) is omitted. One motivation for eq. (23) is to maintain consistency with the Condon-Shortley phase conventions [9] for the eigenfunctions of the spin-1 angular momentum operators  $\vec{\mathbf{S}}^2$  and  $S_z$ . In particular, if we denote the unit three-vector in the radial direction by  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ , then the relation  $\hat{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^\mu(\hat{\mathbf{z}}, \pm 1) = (4\pi/3)^{1/2} Y_{1,\pm 1}(\theta, \phi)$  between the polarization three-vectors and the  $\ell = 1$  spherical harmonics holds without any additional sign factors.

## References

- [1] P.R. Auvil and J.J. Brehm, Phys. Rev. **145** (1966) 1152.
- [2] P. Carruthers, Phys. Rev. **152** (1966) 1345; J. Math. Phys. **9** (1968) 1835.
- [3] E. Leader, *Spin in Particle Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2001).
- [4] H. Pilkuhn, *The Interactions of Hadrons* (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1967).
- [5] W.-K. Tung, *Group Theory in Physics* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1985).
- [6] K.N. Srinivasa Rao, *The Rotation and Lorentz Groups and their Representations for Physicists* (Wiley Eastern Limited, New Delhi, 1988).
- [7] P.A. Carruthers, *Spin and Isospin in Particle Physics* (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1971).
- [8] See Section 6.4 of W. Greiner and J. Reinhardt, *Field Quantization* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996).
- [9] A.R. Edmonds, *Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1974).
- [10] See Appendix I.2 of H.K. Dreiner, H.E. Haber and S.P. Martin, Phys. Reports **494**, 1 (2010).