

# Physics 210 Homework #4

Hanwen Qin

December 8, 2016

## 1 Simple Harmonic Oscillator, Hamilton-Jacobi Approach

The difficulty of checking a solution goes like the reciprocal of finding the solution. Let me compute up front the total derivative of  $S(q, \alpha, t)$ ,

$$dS = m\omega \frac{q \cos(\omega t) - \alpha}{\sin(\omega t)} dq + m\omega \frac{\alpha \cos(\omega t) - q}{\sin(\omega t)} d\alpha + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \frac{2q\alpha \cos(\omega t) - (q^2 + \alpha^2)}{\sin(\omega t)^2} dt$$

As with any generating function, the differential action

$$p dq - \mathcal{H} dt = \beta d\alpha - K dt + dS$$

must be preserved. The  $dq$  term tells me, implicitly, how to transform the coordinate  $\alpha$ ,

$$p = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} = m\omega \frac{q \cos(\omega t) - \alpha}{\sin(\omega t)}$$

the  $d\alpha$  terms tells me how to transform the momentum  $\beta$ ,

$$\beta = -\frac{\partial S}{\partial \alpha} = -m\omega \frac{\alpha \cos(\omega t) - q}{\sin(\omega t)} \quad (1.1)$$

and the  $dt$  term tells me how to transform the Hamiltonian  $K$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \mathcal{H}\left(q, p = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q}\right) + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} \left[ m\omega \frac{q \cos(\omega t) - \alpha}{\sin(\omega t)} \right]^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} q^2 + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \frac{2q\alpha \cos(\omega t) - (q^2 + \alpha^2)}{\sin(\omega t)^2} \end{aligned}$$

When I expand the square, all terms cancel out, leaving the new Hamiltonian identically zero. So  $S(q, \alpha, t)$  indeed solves the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. Moreover, both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are conserved, so I can invert the definition of  $\beta$  (1.1) to find the physical coordinate

$$q(t) = \alpha \cos(\omega t) + \frac{\beta}{m\omega} \sin(\omega t)$$

which I recognize as the general solution to the simple harmonic oscillator. In particular,  $\alpha = q(0)$  is the initial position and  $\beta = m\dot{q}(0)$  is the initial momentum.

## 2 Damped Harmonic Oscillator, Canonical Approach

Part (a) **The Hamiltonian** Newton's Second Law directly yields the equation of motion,

$$-V'(q) - 2m\gamma\dot{q} = m\ddot{q} \quad (2.1)$$

Now I take the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(q, \dot{q}, t) = e^{2\gamma t} \left[ \frac{m\dot{q}^2}{2} - V(q) \right]$$

and compute the conjugate momentum

$$p = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}} = e^{2\gamma t} m\dot{q} \quad (2.2)$$

its rate of change

$$\dot{p} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}} = e^{2\gamma t} (2\gamma m\dot{q} + m\ddot{q})$$

and the generalized force

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q} = -e^{2\gamma t} V'(q)$$

Clearly the resulting Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\ddot{q} = -\frac{V'(q)}{m} - 2\gamma\dot{q}$$

is equivalent to Newton's Second Law (2.1), so the proposed Lagrangian is valid. Next, to derive the Hamiltonian, I solve equation (2.2) for the velocity  $\dot{q} = (p/m)e^{-2\gamma t}$ , and take the Legendre transform,

$$\mathcal{H} = p\dot{q} - \mathcal{L} = e^{2\gamma t} \left[ \frac{m\dot{q}^2}{2} + V(q) \right]$$

$$\mathcal{H}(q, p, t) = e^{-2\gamma t} \frac{p^2}{2m} + e^{2\gamma t} V(q)$$

**Part (b) A Constant of Motion** Given  $F_2(q, P, t) = e^{\gamma t} qP$ , I compute its total derivative,

$$dF_2 = e^{\gamma t} P dq + e^{\gamma t} q dP + \gamma e^{\gamma t} qP dt$$

and require the differential action

$$p dq - \mathcal{H} dt = PdQ - K dt + dF_2$$

to be preserved. The caveat is  $F_2$  has a  $dP$  rather than a  $dQ$ , so I must subtract the total differential  $d(PQ) = PdQ + QdP$  from the right-hand side—that amounts to an integration by parts. Then I collect differentials,

$$(p - e^{\gamma t} P) dq + (Q - e^{\gamma t} q) dP + (K - \mathcal{H} - \gamma e^{\gamma t} qP) dt = 0$$

and set each term to zero because  $q, P, t$  are independent variables. The  $dq$  term tells me the new momentum  $P = e^{-\gamma t} p$ , the  $dP$  terms tells me the new coordinate  $Q = e^{\gamma t} q$ , and the  $dt$  term tells me the new Hamiltonian,

$$K = \mathcal{H} + \gamma e^{\gamma t} qP = e^{-2\gamma t} \frac{p^2}{2m} + e^{2\gamma t} V(q) + \gamma e^{\gamma t} qP$$

$$K(Q, P, t) = \frac{P^2}{2m} + e^{2\gamma t} V(q = Q e^{-\gamma t}) + \gamma QP$$

In the case of a harmonic oscillator potential

$$V(q) = \frac{m\omega^2 q^2}{2} \quad \longrightarrow \quad V(q = Q e^{-\gamma t}) = \frac{m\omega^2}{2} e^{-2\gamma t} Q^2$$

the transformed Hamiltonian becomes independent of time,

$$\boxed{K(Q, P) = \frac{P^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2} Q^2 + \gamma QP} \quad (2.3)$$

Whenever the Hamiltonian has no explicit time dependence, it is a constant of motion.

**Part (c) The Solution** The Hamiltonian produces two canonical equations of motion,

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial P} = \frac{P}{m} + \gamma Q \quad (2.4)$$

$$\dot{P} = -\frac{\partial K}{\partial Q} = -m\omega^2 Q - \gamma P \quad (2.5)$$

Taken together with the statement that  $K$  is conserved, one out of three equations is redundant. I am tempted to solve (2.4) and (2.5) since they are first-order linear equations with straightforward initial conditions,

$$\begin{cases} Q(0) = q(0) = x_0 \\ P(0) = p(0) = m\dot{q}(0) = mv_0 \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

but I am told to use the constant of motion (2.3), so here it goes. I solve for  $P$  in terms of  $Q$  and  $K$ , using the quadratic formula,

$$P = -m\gamma Q \pm m\sqrt{\frac{2K}{m} - (\omega^2 - \gamma^2)Q^2}$$

Two things to notice: first, I don't know yet which sign to pick. Second, in order for  $P$  to be real, the quantity under the square root must be non-negative. An underdamped oscillator with  $\gamma < \omega$  is therefore subject to the constraint

$$|Q| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2K}{m(\omega^2 - \gamma^2)}}$$

The maximum allowed  $Q$  corresponds to the oscillator's amplitude, which decays exponentially. Now I substitute this expression for  $P$  into the equation of motion for  $Q$  (2.4), making it separable,

$$\frac{\dot{Q}}{\sqrt{\frac{2K}{m} - (\omega^2 - \gamma^2)Q^2}} = \pm 1$$

Integrating the right-hand side over  $(0, t)$  just gives me  $\pm t$ . The system evolves forward in time, so it's plausible that I'll have to choose the positive sign. Meanwhile, integrating the left-hand side from  $Q(0)$  to  $Q(t)$  calls for the change of variable  $\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2} Q = \sqrt{2K/m} \sin \phi$ .

$$\int_{Q(0)}^{Q(t)} \frac{dQ}{\sqrt{\frac{2K}{m} - (\omega^2 - \gamma^2)Q^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}} \int_{\phi(0)}^{\phi(t)} d\phi = \frac{\phi(t) - \phi(0)}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}}$$

So I obtain  $\phi(t) = \phi(0) + \sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}t$ , which looks reassuringly like the phase of oscillation. Changing back to  $Q$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t) &= \sqrt{\frac{2K}{m(\omega^2 - \gamma^2)}} \sin \phi(t) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{2K}{m(\omega^2 - \gamma^2)}} \left[ \sin \phi(0) \cos(\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}t) + \cos \phi(0) \sin(\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}t) \right] \end{aligned}$$

The initial phase and energy are of course determined by the initial conditions (2.6).

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{P(0)^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2}Q(0)^2 + \gamma Q(0)P(0) = \frac{m}{2}(v_0^2 + \omega^2 x_0^2) + \gamma m x_0 v_0 \\ \sin \phi(0) &= \sqrt{\frac{m(\omega^2 - \gamma^2)}{2K}} Q(0) = \sqrt{\frac{m(\omega^2 - \gamma^2)}{2K}} x_0 \\ \cos \phi(0) &= \pm \sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \phi(0)} = \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{m(\omega^2 - \gamma^2)x_0^2}{2K}} \end{aligned}$$

Those ugly square roots all cancel out, leaving me in peace.

$$Q(t) = x_0 \cos(\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}t) \pm \frac{v_0 + \gamma x_0}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}} \sin(\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}t)$$

Finally, the physical coordinate  $q$  is related to the canonical coordinate  $Q$  by  $q = Q e^{-\gamma t}$ ,

$$q(t) = e^{-\gamma t} \left[ x_0 \cos(\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}t) + \frac{v_0 + \gamma x_0}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}} \sin(\sqrt{\omega^2 - \gamma^2}t) \right]$$

I've decided on the plus sign because it gives the correct initial condition for  $\dot{q}$ . I've also checked that this solution indeed satisfies the equation of motion given by Newton's Second Law,  $\ddot{q} = -\omega^2 q - 2\gamma\dot{q}$ . I would much prefer to have solved that equation from the get-go.

### 3 Anharmonic Oscillator, Action-Angle Approach

The potential is periodic with infinitely tall barriers, which a classical particle can't tunnel through, so I'll only consider the piece  $0 < x < \pi x_0$ . I can immediately write down the Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H}(x, p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{a}{\sin(x/x_0)^2}$$

It is completely integrable since there's once one spatial dimension. The conservation of energy  $\mathcal{H} = E$  allows me to write the momentum as a function of position,

$$p(x) = \pm \sqrt{2m \left[ E - \frac{a}{\sin(x/x_0)^2} \right]}$$

where the sign depends on whether the particle is moving right (+) or left (-). The turning points are where momentum approaches zero,

$$x_1 = x_0 \arcsin \sqrt{a/E} \qquad x_2 = x_0(\pi - \arcsin \sqrt{a/E})$$

There's always two turning points for  $E > a$ .  $E = a$  puts the particle at rest in equilibrium;  $E < a$  is not allowed. The action variable is defined as the contour integral

$$J = \oint_{\mathcal{H}=E} p dx = \int_{x_1}^{x_2} (+p) dx + \int_{x_2}^{x_1} (-p) dx$$

$$= 2 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} dx \sqrt{2m \left[ E - \frac{a}{\sin^2(x/x_0)} \right]}$$

I will not attempt to evaluate this integral. If I did, I would then solve for  $E$  as a function of  $J$ , and calculate the orbital frequency  $\nu = dE/dJ$ . But I'm more interested in the period of oscillation,

$$T = \frac{1}{\nu} = \frac{dJ}{dE} = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{E}} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{a/E}{\sin^2(x/x_0)}}}$$

This integral is quite hard to solve. By trial and error I found that the substitution

$$\sqrt{1 - a/E} \sin \phi = -\cos(x/x_0) \quad (3.1)$$

$$\sqrt{1 - a/E} \cos \phi d\phi = \sin(x/x_0) dx/x_0$$

maps the interval of integration  $x : (x_1, x_2) \mapsto \phi : (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ , and makes the integrand trivial:

$$T = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{E}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} x_0 d\phi = \pi x_0 \sqrt{\frac{2m}{E}} \quad (3.2)$$

Interestingly the period of oscillation depends on energy. More energetic, and thus faster, particles have shorter period. I may also calculate the angular frequency,

$$\omega = \frac{2\pi}{T} = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{mx_0^2}} \quad (3.3)$$

I came up with two ways to check this result. First, one-dimensional motion can always be reduced to quadrature. The canonical equation for the coordinate  $x$ ,

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p} = \frac{p}{m}$$

can be converted to a directly integrable equation for time,

$$\frac{dt}{dx} = \frac{m}{p(x)}$$

If I integrate from the left turning point to the right, I get half the period, so the full period is twice that integral,

$$T = 2 \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{m}{|p(x)|} dx = \sqrt{\frac{2m}{E}} \int_{x_1}^{x_2} \frac{dx}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{a/E}{\sin^2(x/x_0)}}} = \pi x_0 \sqrt{\frac{2m}{E}}$$

Same integral, same answer. In fact, I may go one step further and solve the motion completely. Just relax the upper limit of integration and make the same substitution as above,

$$t = \int_{x_1}^x \frac{m}{|p(x)|} dx = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2E}} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\phi} x_0 d\phi = x_0 \sqrt{\frac{m}{2E}} (\phi + \pi/2)$$

and surprisingly enough,  $\phi$  turns out to be the phase of oscillation,

$$\phi = t\sqrt{\frac{2E}{mx_0^2}} - \pi/2 = \omega t - \pi/2$$

I then invoke the substitution (3.1) again to find the displacement,

$$x(t) = x_0 \arccos\left[\sqrt{1 - a/E} \cos(\omega t)\right]$$

under the initial condition that the particle starts at rest with total energy  $E$ .

The second check is to consider small oscillations  $\delta x$  near the equilibrium  $x = \pi x_0/2$ . If I Taylor-expand the potential  $V(x)$  about the equilibrium,

$$V\left(\frac{\pi x_0}{2} + \delta x\right) = V\left(\frac{\pi x_0}{2}\right) + V'\left(\frac{\pi x_0}{2}\right) \delta x + \frac{1}{2}V''\left(\frac{\pi x_0}{2}\right) \delta x^2 + \mathcal{O}(\delta x^3)$$

The first term is the constant  $a$ . The second term is zero. The third term is a harmonic oscillator potential with "force constant"  $V''(\pi x_0/2) = 2a/x_0^2$ . So the frequency of small oscillations is

$$\omega = \sqrt{\frac{V''}{m}} = \sqrt{\frac{2a}{mx_0^2}}$$

which is the result (3.3) in the limit  $E \rightarrow a$ .

## 4 Canonical Transformation

The invariance of Poisson brackets is a necessary and sufficient condition for a canonical transformation. It is also easy to check; taking  $Q_1 = q_1^2$ ,  $Q_2 = q_1 + q_2$ ,

1.  $[Q_1, Q_1] = [Q_2, Q_2] = [P_1, P_1] = [P_2, P_2] = 0$  is automatically satisfied.
2.  $[Q_1, Q_2] = 0$  because there's no  $p$  dependence;
3.  $[Q_1, P_1] = 2q_1 \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_1} = 1 \rightarrow \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_1} = \frac{1}{2q_1}$
4.  $[Q_1, P_2] = 2q_1 \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_1} = 0 \rightarrow \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_1} = 0$
5.  $[Q_2, P_1] = \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_1} + \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_2} = 0 \rightarrow \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_2} = -\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_1} = -\frac{1}{2q_1}$
6.  $[Q_2, P_2] = \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_1} + \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_2} = 1 \rightarrow \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_2} = 1 - \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_1} = 1$

From the partial derivatives I can glimpse the general form

$$\begin{cases} P_1 = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2q_1} + f(q_1, q_2) \\ P_2 = p_2 + g(q_1, q_2) \end{cases}$$

where  $f, g$  are undetermined functions of  $q_1, q_2$ . The last Poisson bracket,

$$\begin{aligned} [P_1, P_2] &= \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial q_1} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_1} - \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_1} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial q_1} + \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial q_2} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial p_2} - \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial p_2} \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial q_2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2q_1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_1} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial q_2} + \frac{1}{2q_1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_2} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

is the only constraint on  $f$  and  $g$ . Now consider the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(q_1, q_2, p_1, p_2) = \left( \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2q_1} \right)^2 + p_2 + (q_1 + q_2)^2$$

I think  $f = 0$ ,  $g = (q_1 + q_2)^2$  is a good choice, and it satisfies the last Poisson bracket condition as well. So my canonical transformation is

$$\boxed{\begin{cases} Q_1 = q_1^2 \\ Q_2 = q_1 + q_2 \\ P_1 = \frac{p_1 - p_2}{2q_1} \\ P_2 = p_2 + (q_1 + q_2)^2 \end{cases}} \quad (4.1)$$

and my new Hamiltonian is

$$\boxed{\mathcal{H}(P_1, P_2) = P_1^2 + P_2}$$

Well,  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are ignorable, so  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are conserved. The other two canonical equations are trivially solved,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{Q}_1 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial P_1} = 2P_1 \\ \dot{Q}_2 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial P_2} = 1 \end{cases} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} Q_1(t) = 2P_1 t + Q_1(0) \\ Q_2(t) = t + Q_2(0) \end{cases}$$

To find the initial conditions for the new variables, I evaluate the transformations (4.1) at  $t = 0$ , which is the same as adding a subscript 0. Then I iteratively solve for the original variables,

$$\begin{cases} q_1 = \sqrt{Q_1} = \sqrt{\frac{p_{10} - p_{20}}{2q_{10}} t + q_{10}^2} \\ q_2 = Q_2 - q_1 = q_{10} + q_{20} + t - \sqrt{\frac{p_{10} - p_{20}}{2q_{10}} t + q_{10}^2} \\ p_2 = P_2 - (q_1 + q_2)^2 = p_{20} + (q_{10} + q_{20})^2 - (q_{10} + q_{20} + t)^2 \\ p_1 = 2P_1 q_1 + p_2 = \frac{p_{10} - p_{20}}{q_{10}} \sqrt{\frac{p_{10} - p_{20}}{2q_{10}} t + q_{10}^2} + p_{20} + (q_{10} + q_{20})^2 - (q_{10} + q_{20} + t)^2 \end{cases}$$

I've double-checked that the initial conditions are self-consistent. I have no idea what physical system this describes.